ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

THE REPRESENTATION OF MENTAL ILLNESS IN 20TH CENTURY LITERATURE: A STUDY OF PSYCHOANALYSIS

Muhammad Kamran
Ph.D. (English Literature) Continued. National College of Business Administration & Economics
kamran7u@yahoo.com
Ali Haider (Corresponding Author)
MPhil English, BZU, Multan
alihaider49669@gmail.com
Zafar Iqbal
PhD English Linguistics (Scholar), Minhaj University Lahore
Zafar.iqbal.publishing@gmail.com

Abstract
This study explores the representation of mental illness in 20th century literature especially examining how various authors of English Literature have portrayed psychological disorders and individuals experiencing (mostly the protagonist of their works) them. Moreover, through a close reading of key the literary works (fictions of 20th century from different cultural and historical contexts, the research investigates the ways in which literature both reflects and shapes societal attitudes toward mental health. The study focuses on recurring themes such as alienation, institutionalization, trauma, and the blurred lines between sanity and madness, as depicted in the works of writers like Virginia Woolf, Sylvia Plath, Ken Kesey, and others. Using a combination of literary analysis and historical contextualization, the research reveals how narratives of mental illness evolved alongside developments in psychology, psychiatry, and public perception. The findings suggest that 20th century literature played a critical role in humanizing individuals with mental illness, challenging stigma, and questioning dominant medical discourses. Ultimately, the study underscores literature's capacity to provide nuanced, empathetic insights into the lived experience of mental distress, while also highlighting the limitations and biases embedded in literary portrayals.

Keywords: Mental Illness**,** alienation, institutionalization, trauma, and the blurred lines between sanity and madness

Introduction

Introduction

The representation of mental illness in literature has long served as a mirror to society's understanding of the human psyche. In the 20th century—a period marked by dramatic shifts in psychology, medicine, war, and culture—literary portrayals of mental illness evolved significantly. Authors began to challenge dominant medical narratives and social stigmas, using fiction, poetry, and drama not only to depict psychological suffering but to interrogate the boundaries between sanity and madness. Literature became both a vessel for personal expression and a cultural commentary on the complex, often marginalized, experiences of those living with mental illness.

This century witnessed the rise of psychoanalysis, two world wars, deinstitutionalization movements, and the emergence of psychiatry as a formal discipline. These developments deeply influenced how writers portrayed mental disorders and framed characters' internal struggles. The literary works of Virginia Woolf, Sylvia Plath, Franz Kafka, William Faulkner, and Ken Kesey, among others, reflect a growing interest in subjectivity, trauma, and the interiority of the mind. Mental illness in their works is not simply a pathology to be diagnosed but a profound lens through which to explore themes of identity, isolation, gender, societal pressure, and resistance to normative structures.

In contrast to earlier periods where madness was often portrayed as either moral weakness or supernatural affliction, 20th century literature offers more nuanced and often sympathetic

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

depictions of mental illness. Writers increasingly drew on their own experiences with psychological distress or engaged critically with institutional practices such as psychiatric hospitalization and electroconvulsive therapy. The result is a diverse body of literature that both reflects prevailing social attitudes and actively shapes them. These literary portrayals contribute to broader discourses about mental health, pushing readers to reconsider assumptions about normality, illness, and care.

This study seeks to examine how mental illness is represented in selected 20th century literary texts, analyzing the thematic, structural, and narrative strategies employed to convey psychological complexity. It considers how these representations intersect with historical moments, cultural anxieties, and evolving medical paradigms. By situating literature within its socio-historical context, the research aims to highlight how authors used fiction not merely as storytelling, but as a critical and creative space to confront the realities of mental suffering and its treatment.

Ultimately, this exploration contributes to the interdisciplinary field of medical humanities, emphasizing literature's role in expanding our understanding of mental illness beyond clinical definitions. It reveals how fictional narratives can challenge stigma, foster empathy, and offer alternative ways of seeing the mind in distress—ways that remain relevant in today's ongoing conversations about mental health.

Theoretical Framework

By drawing from trauma theory, this study acknowledges the limits of language and representation when it comes to mental illness. It also highlights how literature uniquely engages with these limits, using aesthetic strategies to express what often cannot be fully articulated.

This theoretical framework integrates psychoanalysis, cultural criticism, narrative theory, feminist theory, and trauma studies to examine how mental illness is represented in 20th-century literature. The use of psychoanalysis is twofold: it provides a method for interpreting literary texts and it reflects the influence of psychoanalytic thought on literary production itself. By situating mental illness at the intersection of personal suffering and cultural discourse, this framework allows for a nuanced analysis of how literature shapes, reflects, and critiques our understanding of the human mind. Through this lens, the study seeks not only to interpret literary depictions of mental illness but also to reveal the evolving relationship between literature, psychology, and society over the course of the 20th century.

Sigmund Freud's psychoanalytic theory provides a foundational lens for this study. Freud conceptualized the human psyche as composed of the id, ego, and superego, and theorized mental illness as resulting from internal conflicts among these psychic structures (Freud, 1923/1961). His work on repression, neurosis, and hysteria offers critical insights into literary characters whose behaviors reflect unresolved internal conflicts. Freud's theory of dreams (1900/1953), as expressions of repressed desires, is also relevant for interpreting symbolic literary representations of mental illness.

Jacques Lacan further extended Freudian psychoanalysis by integrating linguistic theory and structuralism. Lacan's concepts of the Imaginary, the Symbolic, and the Real, as well as the "mirror stage," are instrumental in understanding the fragmented identities and psychological instability portrayed in modernist and postmodernist literature (Lacan, 1977). His assertion that "the unconscious is structured like a language" (Lacan, 1977, p. 147) underscores the centrality of language in constructing both identity and mental disorder in literature.

These psychoanalytic frameworks allow the study to approach literary characters as expressions of psychological symptoms, while also recognizing literature itself as a mode of psychoanalytic inquiry.

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

Beyond individual psychology, mental illness must also be understood as a culturally and historically constructed category. Michel Foucault (1961/1988), in *Madness and Civilization*, argued that madness is not a fixed entity but a product of changing social discourses and institutional practices. He demonstrated how, in different eras, madness has been treated alternately as divine, criminal, or pathological, depending on prevailing ideologies and power structures.

Literature, as both a reflection and critique of cultural attitudes, participates in this construction. The depiction of mental illness in 20th-century literature mirrors shifts in psychiatric discourse, including the medicalization of madness, the rise of psychoanalysis, and the impact of war-related trauma. Novels often represent mentally ill characters as both individual sufferers and symbolic figures, embodying broader anxieties about modern life, alienation, and identity.

Narrative theory also contributes to this understanding. Peter Brooks (1984) argues that narrative itself is structured by desire, repression, and repetition—mechanisms shared with psychoanalysis. Narrative breakdowns such as stream-of-consciousness, fragmentation, and unreliable narration reflect the mental disintegration of characters and mimic psychological symptoms (Lanser, 1981). Thus, literary form becomes a site where mental illness is both represented and enacted.

Modernist and postmodernist literature provide rich terrain for the representation of mental illness. Modernist authors like Virginia Woolf and William Faulkner, influenced by contemporary developments in psychology, placed interiority and consciousness at the center of their narratives. Woolf's *Mrs Dalloway* (1925), for instance, presents Septimus Smith as a character whose post-war trauma results in hallucinations and suicidal ideation, portrayed with deep psychological realism (DeSalvo, 1989).

Postmodernist literature often takes this introspection further, questioning the stability of identity itself. In Sylvia Plath's *The Bell Jar* (1963), the protagonist's descent into depression and psychosis is linked to societal pressures, gender expectations, and a fractured sense of self. The postmodern self, as theorized by thinkers like Jean Baudrillard and Fredric Jameson, is fragmented and decentered—a condition mirrored in the narratives of mental breakdown (Jameson, 1991).

These representations reflect an evolution in the literary conception of madness: from a deviation or pathology to a metaphor for existential dislocation and epistemological crisis.

Feminist psychoanalytic theory critiques the patriarchal underpinnings of both classical psychoanalysis and psychiatric discourse. Julia Kristeva's concept of abjection, as developed in *Powers of Horror* (1982), is useful for analyzing how society excludes and marginalizes the mentally ill, particularly women. Abjection refers to the psychological and cultural process of expelling what is considered impure or threatening to the self—madness often serves as this abject other.

Feminist critics such as Elaine Showalter (1985) have documented how women's mental illness has historically been pathologized through gendered frameworks. Hysteria, for instance, was seen as a distinctly female disorder, linked to emotional instability and reproductive biology. In literature, female characters are often labeled mad not solely for psychological reasons, but due to their non-conformity, creativity, or resistance to gender norms.

Texts like *The Yellow Wallpaper* by Charlotte Perkins Gilman (1892) and *Wide Sargasso Sea* by Jean Rhys (1966) challenge the psychiatric labeling of women and provide alternative accounts of female madness. These works suggest that what is often diagnosed as mental illness may in fact be a response to patriarchal oppression, thereby politicizing madness as a form of resistance (Gilbert & Gubar, 1979).

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

Trauma theory, developed in part through psychoanalytic concepts, addresses how extreme suffering resists traditional forms of narrative representation. Cathy Caruth (1996) defines trauma as an overwhelming experience that returns in repetitive and indirect ways, disrupting linear time and coherent identity. In literature, trauma often manifests through disjointed timelines, flashbacks, and silences—forms that simulate the psychological impact of trauma on the mind.

Freud's concept of the death drive and his work on war neuroses (Freud, 1920/1955) laid early groundwork for trauma theory, suggesting that trauma disrupts normal psychic functioning and can result in compulsive repetition and delayed response. Dominick LaCapra (2001) builds on this by distinguishing between "acting out" and "working through" trauma, a distinction that can be applied to characters' coping mechanisms in literary texts.

Literature provides a unique space to represent the unrepresentable. Through form, metaphor, and voice, authors convey the psychological fragmentation of traumatized characters. The narrative itself becomes a site of trauma, revealing the challenges of articulating what defies language and rational understanding.

This study explores the representation of mental illness in 20th-century literature through the lens of psychoanalytic theory. The theoretical foundation of this research draws predominantly from Sigmund Freud's psychoanalysis and its later developments, particularly as they relate to literature, identity, and the unconscious. Additionally, the framework incorporates perspectives from literary theory, psychology, and cultural criticism to understand how mental illness is conceptualized, narrated, and symbolized in literary texts from the 20th century.

Psychoanalytic Theory and Literature

Psychoanalysis, originating in the works of Sigmund Freud, revolutionized the understanding of the human mind and provided a language for exploring repressed desires, internal conflicts, and the unconscious. Freud's theories, especially those on hysteria, neurosis, and psychosis, have been widely applied to literary studies. In particular, Freud's concepts of repression, the Oedipus complex, dream analysis, and the structural model of the psyche (id, ego, superego) offer valuable tools for reading characters, plot developments, and symbolic structures within literary texts.

Jacques Lacan's reinterpretation of Freudian psychoanalysis further contributes to this study by emphasizing language and the symbolic order. Lacan's concept of the "mirror stage," as well as his theories about the Real, the Imaginary, and the Symbolic, allow for an understanding of how mental illness is not only experienced but also constructed through language. For Lacan, the subject is always split and constituted through lack, which is crucial for understanding the fragmented identities and disjointed narratives often seen in representations of mental illness in modernist and postmodernist literature.

By applying psychoanalytic theory, the study aims to examine how literature serves as a space for both expressing and analyzing psychic disturbances. Characters in literature often become symbolic carriers of psychological symptoms, embodying anxieties and inner conflicts that mirror broader societal concerns.

Mental Illness as a Cultural and Narrative Construct

Another key theoretical perspective this study adopts is the notion of mental illness as a cultural construct. Michel Foucault's work, particularly *Madness and Civilization* (1961), provides a critical historical lens for understanding how madness has been socially defined and treated. Foucault argues that "madness" is not a fixed or universal category but one shaped by the discourses and institutions of a given time period. Literature, therefore, reflects and reinforces these discourses, offering insights into how mental illness was understood in different historical contexts.

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

In the 20th century, particularly after the two World Wars, literary representations of trauma, shell shock (now PTSD), schizophrenia, and depression gained prominence. These portrayals were not only shaped by evolving psychiatric knowledge but also by broader social, political, and philosophical shifts. Thus, this study treats literary depictions of mental illness as both personal and collective expressions shaped by historical forces.

Narrative theory also contributes to the understanding of mental illness in literature. Scholars such as Peter Brooks and D.A. Miller have explored how narrative structures can mirror psychological processes. The breakdown of linear plot, unreliable narrators, fragmented timelines, and stream-of-consciousness techniques often simulate the disordered mental states they describe. These literary devices serve as formal representations of mental illness, and their analysis provides insight into the ways narrative itself participates in the depiction of madness.

Modernism, Postmodernism, and the Literary Self

Much of the 20th-century literature that portrays mental illness falls within the modernist and postmodernist movements. Modernist writers, such as Virginia Woolf, T.S. Eliot, and William Faulkner, were deeply influenced by psychoanalysis and engaged directly with questions of consciousness, identity, and trauma. Woolf's *Mrs Dalloway* and Faulkner's *The Sound and the Fury* are prime examples of how internal mental states are given central narrative importance, with literary form shaped around psychological experience.

Postmodernist writers, including Thomas Pynchon, Don DeLillo, and Sylvia Plath, often interrogated the coherence of the self and the stability of meaning, frequently portraying characters whose mental states reflect broader existential or societal disintegration. In these works, madness is often symbolic of alienation, post-industrial anxiety, or epistemological uncertainty.

The theoretical framework thus recognizes that the literary self—the character—is often a product of its time, constructed in ways that reflect both individual psychology and cultural ideology. The self in literature can be examined as a site where mental illness and psychoanalytic theory intersect to produce meaning.

Gender, Identity, and Pathologization

Feminist psychoanalytic theory also plays an important role in this study. Thinkers such as Julia Kristeva, Hélène Cixous, and Luce Irigaray have critiqued traditional psychoanalytic models for their male-centered perspectives and have reimagined the feminine in ways that challenge dominant psychiatric and literary representations of mental illness.

Julia Kristeva's theory of abjection, as outlined in *Powers of Horror*, is particularly relevant. Abjection refers to the psychological process of excluding that which is deemed impure or threatening to identity—such as the mentally ill. In literature, characters who suffer from mental illness are often abjected figures, positioned outside the boundaries of social norms and rational discourse. Yet, these figures also hold subversive power, destabilizing the binary between sanity and madness.

Feminist readings of 20th-century texts reveal how women, in particular, were pathologized through psychiatric discourse. Novels such as *The Bell Jar* by Sylvia Plath and *Wide Sargasso Sea* by Jean Rhys challenge this pathologization and offer counter-narratives that reclaim the voices and agency of "mad" women. Thus, this framework incorporates feminist psychoanalysis to explore how gender intersects with mental illness in literature.

Trauma Theory and Memory

Lastly, trauma theory complements the psychoanalytic approach in examining mental illness in literature. Scholars such as Cathy Caruth and Dominick LaCapra have explored how trauma resists full representation and is often expressed through indirect or fragmented forms.

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

Literature dealing with mental illness frequently adopts these forms—repetition, disjunction, silence—as a means of representing psychic wounding.

Trauma theory builds on psychoanalysis, especially Freud's early work on hysteria and his later theory of the death drive, to examine how traumatic experience disrupts narrative coherence and identity formation. In literary texts, this is often represented through characters who suffer from memory loss, compulsive repetition, or dissociation. These symptoms, while psychiatric in origin, are also narrative devices that destabilize the linear progression of the plot and challenge readers to confront the unrepresentable aspects of human suffering.

Literature Review

Psychoanalysis has played a pivotal role in shaping literary criticism throughout the 20th century. Sigmund Freud's theories of the unconscious, repression, and the Oedipus complex laid the foundation for analyzing characters and plots as expressions of deep-seated psychological conflict. Freud (1900/1953), in *The Interpretation of Dreams*, argued that dreams are symbolic expressions of repressed desires. Similarly, literary texts often function as dream-like spaces where forbidden thoughts emerge through metaphor and allegory.

Freudian literary criticism gained momentum in the early to mid-20th century with scholars applying psychoanalytic frameworks to canonical authors. For instance, Ernest Jones (1951) famously used Freudian analysis to interpret Shakespeare's *Hamlet*, arguing that the protagonist's hesitation to kill Claudius stems from repressed Oedipal guilt. This interpretive tradition evolved further through the work of Jacques Lacan, whose structuralist reinterpretation of Freud emphasized language as central to psychic formation (Lacan, 1977). His concept of the "mirror stage" is especially relevant in analyzing literature that deals with identity fragmentation and self-recognition.

Lacanian critics such as Felman (1982) and Brooks (1984) have explored how literary texts reflect a Lacanian unconscious, fragmented and articulated through language. Literature, in this context, becomes not just a representation of mental illness, but a performance of it, using linguistic slippage and narrative disjunction to enact psychological disorder. These insights have informed the study of modernist texts, which often depict the mind in crisis through formal experimentation.

While psychoanalysis provides a psychological framework, other scholars have taken a more historical and cultural approach to the representation of madness. Michel Foucault's *Madness and Civilization* (1961/1988) remains foundational in this respect. Foucault argued that the concept of madness has been historically constructed and controlled through institutional power. His genealogical method traced how the "mad" were excluded from society in the classical age, institutionalized in the Enlightenment, and medicalized in the modern era. For Foucault, madness is less a medical fact than a product of cultural discourse.

This approach opened the door to numerous studies that explore how literature reflects and shapes societal attitudes toward mental illness. Showalter (1987), in *The Female Malady*, extended Foucault's insights to gender, demonstrating how mental illness in Victorian and modernist literature often became a site for controlling and pathologizing women. She highlighted how diagnoses such as hysteria were embedded in broader efforts to reinforce gender norms.

Cultural critics such as Elaine Scarry (1985) and Andrew Scull (2009) have similarly emphasized the cultural embeddedness of psychiatric knowledge. Scarry, in particular, explored how pain and psychological distress defy representation, raising questions about the ethics and aesthetics of depicting suffering. This cultural-critical turn in the study of mental illness in literature has emphasized that diagnosis, treatment, and representation are shaped by ideology as much as by clinical realities.

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

The narrative representation of mental illness often involves formal innovations that reflect disordered thought and consciousness. Modernist authors like Virginia Woolf, William Faulkner, and James Joyce broke from traditional linear narrative to explore subjective experience, inner turmoil, and fragmented identity. Woolf's *Mrs Dalloway* (1925), in particular, presents the traumatized veteran Septimus Smith through a stream-of-consciousness technique that mirrors his descent into psychosis (DeSalvo, 1989). Similarly, Faulkner's *The Sound and the Fury* (1929) uses multiple narrators and nonlinear timelines to depict cognitive disarray.

Scholars such as Peter Brooks (1984) and D.A. Miller (1981) have argued that narrative itself can reproduce psychological symptoms, especially compulsion and repetition. Brooks linked narrative structure to Freudian models of desire and repression, suggesting that stories replicate the psyche's struggle for closure. In mentally unstable narrators, this struggle becomes more pronounced. Narrative breakdown—such as incoherence, contradiction, and silence—often mimics or symbolizes psychological disturbance.

Postmodern literature further disrupts narrative cohesion, making the unstable mind a central theme. Sylvia Plath's *The Bell Jar* (1963), for instance, uses ironic, detached narration to convey Esther Greenwood's depression and dissociation. Scholars like Rose (1991) have examined how Plath's style destabilizes the reader's expectations, embodying the alienation and derealization associated with mental illness.

Feminist literary critics have long interrogated how mental illness is gendered in fiction. Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar (1979), in *The Madwoman in the Attic*, identified a recurring trope in which women's madness symbolizes resistance to patriarchal constraints. They analyzed how Victorian women writers often encoded rebellion in their "mad" female characters, using insanity as a metaphor for unexpressed rage, creativity, and sexuality.

This framework has been extended to modernist and postmodernist literature. In *Wide Sargasso Sea* (1966), Jean Rhys reimagines the "madwoman in the attic" from Charlotte Brontë's *Jane Eyre*, providing Antoinette Cosway with a voice and psychological depth. Critics like Spivak (1985) and Moi (1985) have discussed how this rewriting challenges colonial and patriarchal narratives by humanizing and contextualizing the madness of women previously silenced in canonical texts.

Kristeva's theory of abjection, presented in *Powers of Horror* (1982), has also been influential. She argued that the mentally ill are often abjected—cast out to preserve the coherence of the rational, social self. This theory has been applied by scholars like Creed (1993) to explore how the "madwoman" functions as a destabilizing force in literature, threatening the symbolic order with her bodily excess and emotional intensity.

In contemporary feminist criticism, mental illness is increasingly viewed as both a response to and a critique of oppressive structures. Cvetkovich (2003), in *An Archive of Feelings*, emphasized the political dimensions of depression, arguing that psychic pain can be a response to systemic injustice. Thus, mental illness in literature becomes not only a psychological issue but a feminist and socio-political one.

The two World Wars had a profound impact on the literary portrayal of mental illness. The emergence of shell shock (now understood as PTSD) during World War I introduced new ways of conceptualizing trauma and psychological breakdown. Scholars such as Leese (2002) and Showalter (1997) have documented how war literature from this period depicted the enduring psychic wounds of combat, often using modernist techniques to reflect fractured consciousness.

Trauma theory has since become a prominent tool for analyzing literary representations of mental illness. Cathy Caruth (1996) emphasized that trauma is experienced as a belated response, returning in repetitive and fragmentary ways. This explains why post-war literature

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

often features disrupted timelines, flashbacks, and non-linear plots. The characters in such works frequently exhibit symptoms of dissociation, amnesia, and compulsive repetition—hallmarks of trauma.

LaCapra (2001) added to trauma theory by distinguishing between "acting out" and "working through" trauma. In literature, some characters endlessly repeat traumatic scenarios (acting out), while others attempt to integrate and process their experiences (working through). These concepts are especially relevant to texts like *Catch-22* (Heller, 1961), *Slaughterhouse-Five* (Vonnegut, 1969), and *Beloved* (Morrison, 1987), which depict characters struggling to make sense of their psychic suffering.

Recent work by Luckhurst (2008) and Whitehead (2004) has expanded trauma theory's application beyond war to include personal and collective traumas such as domestic abuse, racism, and sexual violence. These studies reinforce that mental illness in literature is not just a private affliction but a symptom of broader historical and social ruptures.

Data Analysis

The analysis in this study draws on selected 20th-century literary works that depict mental illness through the lens of psychoanalytic theory. Texts such as **Virginia Woolf's *Mrs Dalloway***, **Sylvia Plath's *The Bell Jar***, and **Ken Kesey's *One Flew Over the Cuckoo's Nest*** serve as case studies for examining how mental illness is represented not merely as a medical or personal condition, but as a symbol of broader social, psychological, and existential conflicts. Psychoanalytic theory—primarily from Freud and Lacan—provides the interpretive structure for analyzing character behavior, narrative fragmentation, and thematic concerns such as repression, trauma, and identity crisis.

1. Virginia Woolf's *Mrs Dalloway* (1925): Dissociation, Repression, and the Split Self

Woolf's novel centers on Clarissa Dalloway and Septimus Warren Smith, both of whom represent aspects of a divided self. Septimus, a shell-shocked World War I veteran, serves as a direct embodiment of trauma and mental breakdown. Using a Freudian lens, Septimus's hallucinations, detachment, and suicidal ideation can be seen as manifestations of repressed trauma and guilt (Freud, 1920/1955). His disintegration parallels Woolf's stream-of-consciousness style, which allows internal thoughts to dominate the narrative over external actions. The intermingling of perspectives reinforces Lacan's idea of a fragmented subjectivity shaped through language and the symbolic order (Lacan, 1977). Septimus's inability to reintegrate into post-war society also reflects Foucault's (1961/1988) notion of madness as a condition excluded and silenced by normative rationality.

2. Sylvia Plath's *The Bell Jar* (1963): Depression and the Crisis of Identity

Plath's semi-autobiographical novel provides an introspective view into the lived experience of depression through Esther Greenwood, whose descent into psychological crisis challenges both social norms and linguistic representation. From a psychoanalytic viewpoint, Esther's breakdown reflects a collapse of the ego's ability to mediate between the id and superego (Freud, 1923/1961). The novel employs ironic detachment and shifting tone to convey dissociation and emotional numbness. Lacanian theory also applies here: Esther's identity fragmentation reflects a rupture in her symbolic order, especially when societal roles—daughter, student, wife—no longer offer a coherent self-image.

Furthermore, Plath critiques the gendered nature of mental illness. Feminist readings (Gilbert & Gubar, 1979; Cvetkovich, 2003) suggest that Esther's depression is not merely psychological but cultural—a reaction to the stifling expectations placed on mid-20th-century women. The bell jar becomes a metaphor for social containment and psychic suffocation, echoing Kristeva's (1982) theory of abjection, where Esther is rendered "other" by society due to her refusal to conform.

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

3. Ken Kesey's *One Flew Over the Cuckoo's Nest* (1962): Institutional Power and the Performance of Madness

Kesey's novel critiques psychiatric institutions through the eyes of Chief Bromden, a schizophrenic narrator, and Randle McMurphy, a non-conformist figure institutionalized under questionable circumstances. The institution in the novel exemplifies Foucault's (1988) theory of disciplinary power, where madness is defined and controlled by institutional authority. Nurse Ratched, as a symbol of repressive rationality and authoritarian control, enforces a psychiatric regime that dehumanizes its patients.

Psychoanalytically, the novel explores repression, emasculation, and resistance. McMurphy's symbolic role as an id-like figure—unrestrained, libidinal, and disruptive—challenges the institutional superego embodied by Nurse Ratched. The narrative's surreal and distorted style mirrors the fragmented perception of Chief Bromden, whose recovery of speech and agency suggests a reclamation of identity lost through institutional control.

Kesey's portrayal also resonates with Laing's (1960) anti-psychiatric argument that madness can be a form of sanity in an insane society. The novel underscores that what is labeled as mental illness may often be a form of dissent or a coping mechanism in response to systemic dehumanization.

Conclusion

This study reveals that the representation of mental illness in 20th-century literature is profoundly shaped by psychoanalytic theory and socio-cultural critique. Authors like Woolf, Plath, and Kesey do not merely depict mental illness as a clinical condition but explore its symbolic, existential, and political dimensions. Their characters illustrate the inner conflicts of modern identity, often torn between personal desire and social expectation, rationality and emotion, conformity and rebellion.

Through Freudian and Lacanian psychoanalytic readings, mental illness is shown to reflect unconscious drives, repression, trauma, and the breakdown of the symbolic self. These depictions are often rendered through narrative strategies such as stream-of-consciousness, fragmented structure, unreliable narration, and symbolic language. Moreover, the literature interrogates psychiatric institutions, gender norms, and societal definitions of normalcy, aligning with the Foucauldian view of madness as socially constructed and politically regulated.

The findings of this research underscore that literature serves not only as a mirror of mental illness but also as a critical space where dominant narratives about the mind are challenged and reimagined. By analyzing these literary representations through psychoanalysis, we gain deeper insight into the human psyche, the politics of mental health, and the cultural anxieties that shaped the 20th century.

Future research could extend this study by including postcolonial and queer theoretical frameworks or by analyzing non-Western texts to develop a more global understanding of mental illness in literature. Additionally, interdisciplinary approaches that integrate neuroscience, trauma studies, and media studies could further enrich this field of inquiry.

References

Brooks, P. (1984). *Reading for the plot: Design and intention in narrative*. Harvard University Press.

Caruth, C. (1996). *Unclaimed experience: Trauma, narrative, and history*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

Creed, B. (1993). *The monstrous-feminine: Film, feminism, psychoanalysis*. Routledge.

Cvetkovich, A. (2003). *An archive of feelings: Trauma, sexuality, and lesbian public cultures*. Duke University Press.

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

DeSalvo, L. A. (1989). *Virginia Woolf: The impact of childhood sexual abuse on her life and work*. Ballantine Books.
Felman, S. (1982). *Literature and psychoanalysis: The question of reading—otherwise*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
Foucault, M. (1988). *Madness and civilization: A history of insanity in the age of reason* (R. Howard, Trans.). Vintage Books. (Original work published 1961)
Freud, S. (1953). *The interpretation of dreams* (J. Strachey, Trans.). Basic Books. (Original work published 1900)
Freud, S. (1955). *Beyond the pleasure principle* (J. Strachey, Trans.). Norton. (Original work published 1920)
Freud, S. (1961). *The ego and the id* (J. Strachey, Trans.). Norton. (Original work published 1923)
Gilbert, S. M., & Gubar, S. (1979). *The madwoman in the attic: The woman writer and the nineteenth-century literary imagination*. Yale University Press.
Jameson, F. (1991). *Postmodernism, or, the cultural logic of late capitalism*. Duke University Press.
Jones, E. (1951). *The life and work of Sigmund Freud*. Basic Books.
Kristeva, J. (1982). *Powers of horror: An essay on abjection* (L. S. Roudiez, Trans.). Columbia University Press.
Lacan, J. (1977). *Écrits: A selection* (A. Sheridan, Trans.). Norton.
LaCapra, D. (2001). *Writing history, writing trauma*. Johns Hopkins University Press.
Lanser, S. S. (1981). *The narrative act: Point of view in prose fiction*. Princeton University Press.
Leese, P. (2002). *Shell shock: Traumatic neurosis and the British soldiers of the First World War*. Palgrave.
Luckhurst, R. (2008). *The trauma question*. Routledge.
Miller, D. A. (1981). *Narrative and its discontents: Problems of closure in the traditional novel*. Princeton University Press.
Moi, T. (1985). *Sexual/textual politics: Feminist literary theory*. Routledge.
Rose, J. (1991). *The haunting of Sylvia Plath*. Harvard University Press.
Scarry, E. (1985). *The body in pain: The making and unmaking of the world*. Oxford University Press.
Scull, A. (2009). *Madness in civilization: A cultural history of insanity, from the Bible to Freud, from the madhouse to modern medicine*. Princeton University Press.
Showalter, E. (1985). *The female malady: Women, madness, and English culture, 1830–1980*. Pantheon Books.
Showalter, E. (1997). *Hystories: Hysterical epidemics and modern culture*. Columbia University Press.
Spivak, G. C. (1985). Three women's texts and a critique of imperialism. *Critical Inquiry, 12*(1), 243–261.
Whitehead, A. (2004). *Trauma fiction*. Edinburgh University Press.