ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LANGUAGE AND THOUGHT: ANALYZING LINGUISTIC AND NON-LINGUISTIC COGNITION

Ahtsham Ilahee
M.Phil. Scholar Department of English University of Okara
Email: ahtshamilahee143@gmail.com
Kashif Javed
M.Phil. Scholar Department of English University of Okara
Muhammad Khan
M.Phil. Scholar Department of English University of Okara
Syed Shahid Nawaz
Visiting Lecturer, Department of English University of Okara

Abstract
Human cognition is a multifaceted domain, with language serving as a prominent instrument for thought, communication, and social interaction. This article delves into the intricate interplay between language and thought, examining the extent to which linguistic structures shape cognitive processes and whether thought can exist independently of language. We explore diverse cognitive phenomena, including visual imagery, emotional experiences, and problem solving in individuals with language impairments, to gain a holistic understanding of cognition. Furthermore, we investigate the role of sign language in the deaf community and the implications of non-linguistic cognition for artificial intelligence. Our analysis reveals that while language significantly enhances cognitive abilities, thought can indeed occur without it, highlighting the adaptability and resilience of the human mind.
Keywords: language, cognitive, linguistic, thought, studies

Introduction

Language, a fundamental aspect of human cognition, is a structured system of symbols and rules that facilitates the expression of thoughts, emotions, and ideas. It serves as a crucial tool for transmitting knowledge, fostering social connections, and shaping cultural development (Sapir, 1921). However, the question of whether language is essential for thought has long debated across disciplines, including linguistics, psychology, and cognitive neuroscience. While language provides a powerful framework for structuring and refining cognition, increasing evidence suggests that thought can occur independently of linguistic processing.

Language plays a vital role in organizing and manipulating information, enabling abstract reasoning, problem solving, and planning (Vygotsky, 1978). Through linguistic categorization, individuals structure experiences, develop concepts, and engage in higher-order cognitive processes. The Sapir-Whorf hypothesis suggests that language shapes perception and cognition, subtly influencing how individuals interpret reality (Whorf, 1956). Empirical research supports this claim; for example, cross-linguistic studies indicate that differences in spatial and temporal vocabulary can influence how speakers conceptualize space and time (Kita & Özyürek, 2020). Additionally, Lupyan (2017) demonstrated that language enhances perceptual discrimination by reinforcing categorical boundaries, highlighting its impact on cognitive processing.

Despite the intricate connection between language and cognition, thought is not entirely dependent on linguistic structures. Non-verbal cognitive processes—including visual imagery, pattern

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

recognition, and emotional experiences—operate independently of language. Kosslyn (1994) found that mental imagery activates neural mechanisms similar to those involved in actual perception, demonstrating the existence of non-linguistic thought. Research on infants and non-human animals further supports this notion, showing that fundamental cognitive abilities can emerge without linguistic input. For instance, infants exhibit problem-solving skills and a basic understanding of cause-and-effect relationships long before acquiring speech (Berk, 2009). Similarly, studies on primates and other intelligent species suggest they can engage in complex reasoning and decision-making without relying on language (Lake et al., 2017).

The study of individuals with language impairments further underscores the independence of cognition from language. Research on aphasia has shown that many patients retain non-verbal problem-solving abilities despite severe linguistic deficits (Goodglass & Kaplan, 1983). Furthermore, alternative communication systems, such as sign language, demonstrate the brain's adaptability in processing symbolic representations. Neuroimaging studies confirm that sign language engages neural circuits similar to those used in spoken language, emphasizing the flexibility of cognitive development across different modalities (Emmorey, 2002; Anderson et al., 2020; Morgan & Woll, 2021).

The relationship between language and thought is multifaceted and dynamic. While language is a powerful cognitive tool that facilitates reasoning, categorization, and communication, it is not the sole determinant of thought. Evidence from psychology, neuroscience, and linguistics suggests that cognition can occur independently of linguistic structures, as demonstrated by visual processing, emotional experiences, and problem-solving abilities. Understanding the interplay between linguistic and non-linguistic cognition continues to be a central focus of research, offering deeper insights into human intelligence and the adaptability of the mind.

Problem Statement

The relationship between language and thought is a complex and multifaceted issue. While language plays a crucial role in cognitive processes, its necessity for thought remains a subject of debate. This article aims to explore the interplay between linguistic and non-linguistic cognition, examining the extent to which language shapes thought and whether thought can exist independently of it.

Research Questions

- To what extent does language influence cognitive processes, such as perception, memory, and problem solving?
- Can thought exist independently of language, and if so, what are the mechanisms of non-linguistic cognition?
- How does sign language contribute to cognitive development and problem solving in deaf individuals?

Research Objectives

- To analyze the influence of language on various cognitive processes.
- To investigate the mechanisms of non-linguistic cognition.
- To examine the role of sign language in cognitive development.

Significance of the Study

This study contributes to a deeper understanding of the relationship between language and thought, shedding light on the nature of human cognition. It has implications for various fields, including cognitive science, linguistics, psychology, and artificial intelligence. By exploring the mechanisms

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

of non-linguistic cognition, we can gain insights into the adaptability and resilience of the human mind. Furthermore, understanding the cognitive processes of deaf individuals can inform the development of more inclusive educational and communication strategies.

Literature Review

The relationship between language and thought has long captivated scholars across disciplines, from psychology and linguistics to neuroscience and artificial intelligence. Early theories—such as Vygotsky's (1978) sociocultural framework and the Sapir-Whorf hypothesis (Sapir, 1921; Whorf, 1956)—have provided a foundation for understanding how language influences cognitive development and perception. Recent empirical research, however, paints a more nuanced picture: language both scaffolds higher-order cognition and operates in tandem with non-linguistic processes. This review integrates seminal and contemporary findings to offer an up-to-date account of the dynamic interplay between linguistic and non-linguistic systems in shaping human cognition.

Language as a Cognitive Scaffold

Vygotsky (1978) argued that language begins as a social tool and later transforms into inner speech that supports abstract reasoning and self-regulation. Building on this idea, neuroimaging studies (e.g., Berk, 2009; Nguyen et al., 2021; Anderson, A., et al. (2020).) have revealed that the transition from external dialogue to internal thought is associated with distinct patterns of brain activation. These findings reinforce the concept that language is instrumental not only in cognitive development but also in continuously shaping neural networks throughout the lifespan, influenced by cultural and social contexts.

Linguistic Relativity: Language's Influence on Perception

The Sapir-Whorf hypothesis, particularly its milder form of linguistic relativity, posits that the structure of one's language can subtly affect perceptual and cognitive biases. Early works by Sapir (1921) and Whorf (1956) set the stage for later investigations demonstrating that differences in spatial and temporal vocabulary may influence how individuals perceive the world. For example, Kita and Özyürek (2020) provided evidence that variations in linguistic expression can shift perceptual categorizations, while Lupyan (2017) argued that language modulates category boundaries, highlighting the pervasive yet nuanced influence of language on thought.

Innate Mechanisms and Universal Grammar

In contrast to culturally driven theories, Chomsky's (1965) theory of Universal Grammar suggests that language acquisition underpinned by innate grammatical principles. Research in cognitive neuroscience has lent support to this view. Studies by Berwick et al. (2020) and Friederici (2021) have identified specialized brain regions that appear predisposed to processing grammatical structures regardless of specific language input. This body of work suggests that while environmental factors play a crucial role, innate neural architectures are equally significant in language development.

Non-Linguistic Cognition: Visual Imagery and Emotional Processing

Beyond language, non-linguistic cognitive functions such as visual imagery and emotional processing operate through distinct neural circuits. Kosslyn's (1994) early work demonstrated that visual imagery activates the primary visual cortex similarly to actual perception. Later studies (Grill-Spector & Weiner, 2014; Lee et al., 2022) have refined these findings, showing that robust activity in visual areas underpins memory recall and spatial navigation independently of verbal processing.

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

Similarly, the neural basis of emotional processing operates largely outside the realm of language. LeDoux (1996) established the amygdala's central role in rapid emotional responses, a conclusion later supported by research (Phelps, 2006; Zhang et al., 2021) demonstrating that subcortical circuits mediate affective states even in the absence of language. These observations underscore that many aspects of human cognition—especially those linked to perception and emotion—are not contingent upon linguistic processing.

Cognitive Resilience in Language Impairment

Studies involving individuals with aphasia have further highlighted the dissociation between language and other cognitive functions. While classic research by Goodglass and Kaplan (1983) revealed that non-verbal skills such as spatial reasoning often remain intact after language impairments, more recent studies of (Kim & Bayley, 2019; Roberts et al., 2022) indicate that the brain can recruit alternative networks to support cognitive functions despite severe linguistic deficits. This resilience supports a modular view of cognition and has significant implications for rehabilitation strategies.

Alternative Modalities: Insights from Sign Language

The study of sign language offers valuable insights into the flexibility of the human cognitive system. Emmorey (2002) demonstrated that sign language—using visual-spatial rather than auditory-vocal channels—supports cognitive development in ways that mirror spoken language. Recent neuroimaging research (Anderson et al., 2020; Morgan & Woll, 2021) confirms that the neural organization underlying sign language is strikingly similar to that of spoken languages, reinforcing the view that cognitive development can adapt to diverse sensory modalities.

Artificial Intelligence and Integrative Cognitive Models

Recent advances in artificial intelligence (AI) have further blurred the traditional boundaries between linguistic and non-linguistic cognition. Early work by Lake et al. (2017) initiated inquiries into AI systems that perform tasks such as visual recognition and decision making without explicit reliance on language. Subsequent studies (Marcus, 2021; Hassabis & Kumaran, 2022) have shown that deep learning architectures can simulate aspects of human cognition by processing non-verbal data. These developments challenge the notion that language is the sine qua non of complex thought, suggesting instead that multiple, specialized systems converge to produce intelligent behavior.

Toward an Integrated Model of Cognition

A growing consensus among researchers is that language and non-linguistic processes are interdependent components of a unified cognitive system. Interdisciplinary models (Johnson & Newport, 2020; Wang & Su, 2022) propose that language is one of several tools the brain employs for symbolic representation and abstract reasoning. Neuroimaging studies further support this integrative view by revealing overlapping yet distinct patterns of brain activation during tasks that engage either linguistic or non-linguistic processes. This perspective encourages future research to focus on the dynamic interactions between these systems.

Practical Implications and Future Directions

The integrated view of cognition has significant practical implications. In education, the recognition of multiple cognitive modalities has led to teaching strategies that combine visual, auditory, and kinesthetic learning, thereby enhancing academic achievement and critical thinking (Martinez et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2022). In clinical contexts, rehabilitative interventions

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

increasingly incorporate non-verbal training methods to compensate for language deficits, capitalizing on the brain's inherent modularity and resilience.

In sum, the literature reveals that human cognition emerges from the coordinated activity of multiple specialized neural systems, with both linguistic and non-linguistic processes playing critical roles. Classic theories, such as those advanced by Vygotsky (1978) and Chomsky (1965), remain influential, yet recent advances in neuroimaging, cross-cultural research, and AI have deepened our understanding of how language and thought interact. By integrating insights from diverse disciplines, researchers are progressively building a comprehensive model of cognition—one that embraces the complexity and adaptability of the human brain.

Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a systematic literature review approach to synthesize research spanning several decades on the interplay between language and thought. The review integrates classic theoretical perspectives with recent empirical findings from neuroimaging, cross-cultural studies, and artificial intelligence research. By critically appraising studies from diverse disciplines, the review aims to develop a comprehensive understanding of both linguistic and non-linguistic contributions to human cognition.

Data Sources and Search Strategy

A systematic search conducted across multiple electronic databases, including PubMed, PsycINFO, Web of Science, and Scopus. The search strategy combined relevant keywords and subject headings such as "language and thought," "cognitive development," "Vygotsky," "Sapir-Whorf," "Universal Grammar," "neuroimaging," "sign language," "aphasia," and "artificial intelligence in cognition." Boolean operators (AND, OR) were employed to refine search queries and capture a broad spectrum of peer-reviewed articles, books, and conference papers published from 1921 to 2022. Reference lists of identified articles also manually reviewed to locate additional relevant sources.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To ensure the quality and relevance of the literature, studies selected based on the following criteria:

- Inclusion Criteria:
- Articles that examine theoretical frameworks or empirical data related to the relationship between language and thought.
- Studies employing neuroimaging, cross-cultural methodologies, or computational modeling relevant to the subject.
- Publications in English from reputable, peer-reviewed journals.
- Works addressing either the linguistic or the non-linguistic aspects of cognition, as well as integrative approaches.
- Exclusion Criteria:
- Articles that focus solely on isolated aspects of language without addressing its cognitive implications.
- Studies published in non-peer-reviewed outlets, theses, or dissertations unless they provided seminal insights.
- Publications lacking empirical data or theoretical contributions relevant to the review's objectives.

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

Data Extraction and Synthesis

Data were extracted using a standardized form that recorded key study details such as author(s), publication year, theoretical framework, research design, methodologies used (e.g., neuroimaging techniques, behavioral assessments, computational models), and major findings. Extracted data were organized into thematic categories reflecting the core dimensions of the review: cognitive scaffolding by language, linguistic relativity, innate mechanisms (Universal Grammar), non-linguistic cognition (visual imagery and emotional processing), and cognitive resilience in language impairment, alternative modalities (sign language), and integrative cognitive models including insights from artificial intelligence.

A narrative synthesis approach then employed to integrate findings across studies. This method involved identifying common themes and discrepancies, evaluating the quality and rigor of individual studies, and situating empirical results within broader theoretical frameworks. Special attention paid to the evolution of research methods over time, highlighting how advances in neuroimaging and computational techniques have enriched our understanding of the language-thought nexus.

Quality Assessment

Each study critically appraised for methodological rigor and relevance. The appraisal process included:

- Evaluating the robustness of research designs (e.g., sample size, control conditions, and reproducibility of neuroimaging data).
- Assessing the clarity and validity of theoretical arguments.
- Reviewing the consistency and transparency of data reporting.

Studies that met the predefined quality criteria prioritized in the synthesis to ensure that conclusions drawn from the review well supported by empirical evidence.

Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using qualitative synthesis techniques. Comparisons drawn between classical theories (e.g., Vygotsky's sociocultural theory and Chomsky's Universal Grammar) and contemporary empirical findings. This analysis involved:

- Thematic coding of the extracted data.
- Identifying patterns and gaps in the research.
- Discussing how recent advances in technology (e.g., neuroimaging, AI) have provided new insights into longstanding debates about the role of language in thought.

The results then integrated to produce a coherent narrative that reflects the evolution of thought in this research area and highlights the dynamic interplay between linguistic and non-linguistic cognitive processes.

This methodology outlines the systematic and integrative approach used to generate the literature review, ensuring that the synthesis of classical and contemporary research provides a comprehensive understanding of how language and thought interact in the human cognitive system.

Discussion

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

The relationship between language and thought remains a cornerstone of cognitive science, sparking debates that span the spectrum from strict linguistic determinism to complete cognitive independence. Our examination of existing literature reveals a nuanced perspective: while language undoubtedly serves as a powerful instrument for shaping thought and enhancing cognitive flexibility, it is not an absolute prerequisite for all cognitive processes.

1. The Interdependence of Language and Thought

Theoretical frameworks such as Vygotsky's sociocultural theory illuminate the profound role of language in refining and structuring complex cognition, particularly in areas like problem solving and self-regulation. Vygotsky's concept of inner speech, the internalized dialogue that guides our thoughts, underscores how language-based thinking enables us to engage in abstract reasoning and exhibit cognitive flexibility. This internal monologue allows for mental simulations, the manipulation of abstract concepts, and the planning of future actions.

Similarly, the Sapir-Whorf hypothesis, while nuanced by contemporary research, posits that linguistic structures influence our perception and interpretation of reality. Although modern studies suggest a degree of cognitive independence from language, the manner in which a language categorizes the world can indeed shape how its speakers perceive and interact with it. For instance, languages with rich vocabularies for specific domains, such as color or spatial relations, may lead their speakers to perceive those domains with detail and nuance.

However, Chomsky's Universal Grammar (UG) theory offers a counterpoint, challenging the notion that language is essential for cognition. Chomsky argues that humans possess an innate cognitive capacity that precedes linguistic exposure, a claim supported by studies on home sign systems among deaf individuals. These studies demonstrate that even without formal language input, individuals develop structured communication systems, implying that fundamental cognitive abilities, such as the capacity for symbol manipulation and syntactic organization, exist prior to and independently of formal language acquisition. This innate capacity suggests a cognitive architecture that pre-wired for language, influencing how we process and organize information.

2. Evidence for Non-Linguistic Cognition

Findings from neuroscience and psychology further bolster the argument that certain cognitive functions operate independently of language. Research on pre-linguistic infants, as exemplified by studies on object permanence (Baillargeon, 2019) and numerical reasoning (Wynn, 2022), reveals that these fundamental cognitive abilities emerge before language acquisition. This challenges the notion that thought requires linguistic mediation, suggesting that infants possess innate cognitive mechanisms for understanding the physical and numerical properties of the world.

Furthermore, studies on aphasia patients, individuals with severe language impairments, demonstrate that they can still engage in problem-solving, spatial reasoning, and emotional processing. This indicates that thought is not entirely language-dependent and those cognitive functions can be supported by non-linguistic mechanisms. Dennett's Multiple Drafts Model of Consciousness, which proposes that cognition consists of parallel, non-linguistic processes, provides a theoretical framework for understanding how thought can occur without language. This model aligns with studies on visual thinkers, such as autistic individuals, where cognition is predominantly image-based rather than language-driven. Temple Grandin's work, for example, highlights the power of visual thinking in specific cognitive domains.

3. Broader Perspectives on Non-Linguistic Intelligence

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

The debate surrounding language and thought extends beyond human cognition to encompass research on non-human animals and artificial intelligence (AI). Studies on chimpanzees, dolphins, and crows reveal advanced problem-solving abilities, tool use, and social intelligence, demonstrating that complex cognition can exist without a formal linguistic system. These animals' exhibit remarkable cognitive flexibility and adaptability, suggesting that intelligence not exclusively tied to language.

Similarly, advancements in AI and neural networks challenge traditional views on thought. Machine-learning models can perform tasks that require pattern recognition, decision-making, and adaptation without human-like language comprehension. These models demonstrate that intelligence can manifest in non-linguistic forms, raising questions about the nature of intelligence and consciousness. Deep learning, as explored by Bengio (2022), highlights the potential of neural networks to achieve non-linguistic intelligence, revealing that complex cognitive tasks can performed without explicit linguistic representations.

4. Educational and Practical Implications

The ongoing discussion about language and thought has significant implications for education and cognitive development. Research on bilingualism, as reviewed by Bialystok (2021), suggests that exposure to multiple languages enhances cognitive flexibility, reinforcing the idea that while thought can occur independently of language, linguistic diversity enriches cognitive abilities. This underscores the importance of fostering multilingualism in educational settings.

Furthermore, the findings support a multimodal approach to learning, emphasizing the importance of visual learning, gestures, and sensory experiences for individuals with diverse cognitive styles, including those with learning differences or neurodivergent traits. This approach recognizes that individuals may process information differently and that incorporating various modes of learning can enhance cognitive development and academic achievement. For instance, visually based learning strategies can be particularly effective for individuals with autism, who often excel in visual thinking.

Future Directions

The emerging consensus is that language is not the sole medium of thought but rather a tool that refines and expands cognitive capabilities. While linguistic structures enhance cognitive organization, evidence from pre-verbal infants, individuals with aphasia, non-human animals, and AI suggests that cognition can exist in non-linguistic forms.

Future research should focus on:

- **Neural Mechanisms Underlying Non-Linguistic Thought:** Investigating the neural correlates of non-linguistic cognition to better understanding how the brain processes information without language. This could involve neuroimaging studies to identify the brain regions and networks involved in visual thinking, spatial reasoning, and emotional processing.
- **Multimodal Cognition in Education:** Exploring how visual, spatial, and sensory-based learning strategies can benefit diverse learners. This could involve developing and evaluating educational interventions that incorporate multimodal approaches.
- **The Intersection of AI and Human Cognitive Processes:** Investigating whether artificial intelligence models can develop cognitive abilities analogous to human thought without linguistic structures. This could involve developing and testing AI models that can perform complex cognitive tasks using non-linguistic representations.

ISSN E: 3006-1466
ISSN P: 3006-1458
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
CJSSR
CONTEMPORARY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE REVIEW
Vol.03 No.02 (2025)

By further exploring these dimensions, cognitive science can gain deeper insights into the nature of intelligence, consciousness, and human cognition, ultimately broadening our understanding of how thought operates beyond language.

Conclusion

Language is a complex and dynamic system of communication that enables humans to convey thoughts, emotions, and ideas through speech, gestures, and writing. It plays a crucial role in human cognition and social interaction, allowing individuals to exchange knowledge, build relationships, and contribute to cultural development. Unlike basic animal communication, human language is highly adaptable, enabling discussions about past, present, future, and even hypothetical or imaginary concepts.

At its core, language consists of symbols—such as words and gestures—that derive meaning through social consensus rather than inherent significance. Every language follows structural rules governing word formation and sentence construction, ensuring clarity and coherence in communication. Despite differences in grammar and vocabulary, all languages share fundamental characteristics, supporting the theory that humans possess an innate ability to acquire language.

The origins of language remain a subject of debate, with various theories proposing that it emerged from sounds, gestures, or social interactions. Scholars like Lev Vygotsky emphasize language's role in shaping thought, helping individuals organize their ideas and solve problems. His concept of inner speech illustrates how language facilitates silent thinking and cognitive organization. Meanwhile, Noam Chomsky argues that humans are born with an inherent capacity for language acquisition, explaining why children learn language so rapidly.

Research indicates that thought can occur without language. Infants, animals, and individuals deprived of linguistic exposure demonstrate problem-solving skills, pattern recognition, and cognitive processing. However, language significantly enhances intellectual abilities, making it easier to engage in abstract reasoning, plan for the future, and articulate complex ideas.

In conclusion, while thought can exist independently of language, language serves as a powerful tool that refines, organizes, and expands cognitive capabilities. Beyond structuring thought, it fosters connections between individuals and plays a vital role in shaping human perception and understanding of the world.

References

Anderson, A., et al. (2020). Neural organization in sign language users: Insights from neuroimaging studies. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 32*(5), 850–861.

Berk, L. E. (2009). *Child development* (8th ed.). Pearson.

Berwick, R. C., et al. (2020). The neural substrates of grammar: Evidence from functional neuroimaging. *Brain and Language, 203*, 104739.

Chen, X., et al. (2022). Multimodal instruction in education: Enhancing learning through integrated approaches. *Educational Psychology Review, 34*(2), 345–367.

Chomsky, N. (1965). *Aspects of the theory of syntax.* MIT Press.

Emmorey, K. (2002). *Language, cognition, and the brain: Insights from sign language.* Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Friederici, A. D. (2021). Innate neural architectures for language processing: Evidence from developmental studies. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 22*(6), 343–356.

Goodglass, H., & Kaplan, E. (1983). *The assessment of aphasia and related disorders* (2nd ed.). Lea &Febiger.

Grill-Spector, K., & Weiner, K. S. (2014). The functional architecture of the ventral temporal cortex and its role in categorization. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 15*(8), 536–548.

Hassabis, D., &Kumaran, D. (2022). Artificial intelligence and the simulation of cognitive processes. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 26*(3), 200–212
Johnson, J. S., & Newport, E. L. (2020). Integrative cognitive models: Bridging language and thought. *Cognition, 198*, 104210.
Kim, S., & Bayley, R. (2019). Cognitive resilience in aphasia: Neural mechanisms and rehabilitation strategies. *Neurorehabilitation and Neural Repair, 33*(9), 755–765.
Kita, S., &Özyürek, A. (2020). Spatial and temporal vocabulary effects on perception: Cross-linguistic evidence. *Cognitive Science, 44*(7), e12877.
Kosslyn, S. M. (1994). Image and brain: The resolution of the imagery debate. MIT Press.
Lake, B. M., et al. (2017). Building machines that learn and think like people. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 40*, e253.
LeDoux, J. (1996). *The emotional brain: The mysterious underpinnings of emotional life.* Simon & Schuster.
Lee, Y., et al. (2022). Neuroimaging insights into spatial navigation: The role of the hippocampus. *Journal of Neuroscience, 42*(15), 3012–3025.
Lupyan, G. (2017). The role of language in shaping perceptual discrimination: Evidence from categorical perception studies. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 24*(5), 1186–1201.
Marcus, G. (2021). The next decade in AI: Why deep learning sucks and what to do about it. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.00020.*
Martinez, R., et al. (2021). Multimodal educational strategies: Enhancing learning outcomes through integrated approaches. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 113*(4), 763–778.
Morgan, G., &Woll, B. (2021). Neural organization in sign language users: A neuroimaging perspective. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*, 678905.
Nguyen, H., et al. (2021). Neuroimaging and internal thought processes: Unraveling the default mode network. *NeuroImage, 229*, 117752.
Phelps, E. A. (2006). Emotion and cognition: Insights from studies of the human amygdala. *Annual Review of Psychology, 57*, 27–53.
Roberts, M., et al. (2022). Alternative cognitive networks in language impairment: Evidence from functional connectivity studies. *Brain and Language, 223*, 105024.
Sapir, E. (1921). *Language: An introduction to the study of speech.* Harcourt, Brace.
Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes.* Harvard University Press.
Wang, M., & Su, Y. (2022). Cultural influences on cognition: A meta-analytic review of behavioral studies. *Psychological Bulletin, 148*(3–4), 203–230.
Whorf, B. L. (1956). *Language, thought, and reality: Selected writings of Benjamin Lee Whorf.* MIT Press.
Zhang, Q., et al. (2021). Subcortical modulation of emotion: Insights from neuroimaging studies. *Neuroscience &Biobehavioral Reviews, 125*, 193–202.