

ARGUMENTATION AND AI: BRIDGING LINGUISTIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVES IN EDUCATION

1) AHMAD RAZA

PhD Scholar at University of Education, Lahore

Visiting lecturer at University of the Punjab and University of Education, Lahore

ahmadrazaenglish@gmail.com

ORCID 0009-0004-6163-6253

2) MUHAMMAD SHAHROZ

Lecturer at Department of English Linguistics & Literature (DELL), Riphah International University, Islamabad.

mshahroz988@gmail.com

3) ARFA IRAM

PhD Scholar at University of Education, Lahore

arfairam06@gmail.com

ORCID: 0009-0003-3300-4841

Abstract

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) tools into education offers significant potential for enhancing argumentative writing and critical thinking skills, crucial for academic and lifelong success. This study investigates the impact of AI tools, such as ChatGPT and Grammarly, on argumentative writing using Toulmin's Argument Model. Employing a mixed-method approach, data were collected from 100 undergraduate students and 10 educators through pre- and post-test evaluations, analyzed quantitatively using SPSS Version 19 and qualitatively via thematic and structural analysis. The findings reveal notable improvements in argumentative writing, including enhanced specificity of claims, logical coherence, and incorporation of rebuttals. Statistical analysis demonstrated significant post-test score increases, with AI-supported essays outperforming non-AI ones. Qualitative analysis highlighted greater alignment with Toulmin's components, showcasing the tools' capacity to scaffold argument construction and strengthen logical reasoning. Interviews with participants affirmed AI's utility but also raised concerns about over-reliance, ethical implications, and potential erosion of critical thinking. This study emphasizes the need for a balanced approach, advocating for AI as a supportive tool within human-centered learning frameworks. Practical implications include guiding educators in leveraging AI effectively while addressing ethical concerns and promoting equitable access.

Keywords: Integration, AI, Toulmin's Model, Argumentation, ChatGPT, Education

1. Introduction

The modern methodologies of teaching have merged the artificial intelligence with the framework of education to further hone the critical thinking skills as well as to make the arguments (Arendt & Ehrlich, 2024). Argumentation forms the core of cognitive growth, allowing the students to analyze, evaluate, and construct logical assertions. Cognitive frameworks, like Toulmin's Argument Model, give educational settings a slightly stronger leg to stand on when talking about the argument structure with regards to claims, evidence, and counterarguments (Hauptmann, Krenzer, Völkel, & Puppe, 2024). AI integration opens up new avenues that can be used to improve it in ways that have never been possible before: educators can bridge theory and development (Chuang & Yan, 2022).

Artificial intelligence is perhaps considered one of the most significant developments in human life, making education change with the speed of its improvement (Black et al., 2022). One of the influential factors in this process of change is regarded to be how AI affects critical thinking as well as argumentation skills. Arguing: the ability to build, assess, and effectively communicate well-supported arguments, is at the heart of learning because it develops critical reasoning and decision-making skills (Volkan, 2024). This skill cuts across

disciplines and enables students meaningfully to engage in every academic, professional, and social setting. In the toolkit of teachers for many years has been structuring argumentation using models such as Toulmin's Model of an Argument (Yang, 2022). This model gives a systematic way of breaking down arguments into six parts: claim, grounds, warrant, backing, qualifier, and rebuttal, allowing for a more in-depth analysis of logical relationships (Li & Zhang, 2022).

The integration of AI in the subject area opens new avenues of pedagogy. AI applications, such as ChatGPT, Grammarly, and Turnitin, are able to analyze arguments, inform about the structure of argumentation, and propose a rebuttal (João Manoel da Silva & Odete Pacubi Baierl, 2020). The tools not only enhance the technicality of writing but also expose the learners to several perspectives that create nuanced critical thinking skills. For instance, AI can mimic debates, provide evidence of logical fallacies, or offer evidence-based recommendations—all of which help to breathe new life into the traditional classroom (Rui, 2022). Recent empirical research indicates that AI-enhanced learning environments strengthen students' argumentation skills and promote interest in tasks that demand higher-order thinking (Johnson & Park, 2022; Smith et al., 2023).

Objectives

The primary goals of this paper are to:

- Examine whether AI tools improve the argumentative writing of students by utilizing Toulmin's Argument Model;
- Find out if there is a pattern between arguments of human creation and those generated by AI;
- Discuss the pedagogical benefits of teaching argumentation using AI.

Research Questions

1. How do AI tools influence the quality of argumentative writing in students?
2. What are the recurring patterns in argumentative structures when students use AI tools compared to traditional methods?
3. What are the perceptions of students and educators regarding the integration of AI tools in teaching argumentation?

2. Literature Review

The literature review focuses on the interaction of the different argumentation theories—most notably, Toulmin's Argument Model and the AI elements in education. The synthesis of existing research will make for an establishment of a base point for understanding what exactly AI tools bring out in enhancing critical thinking and good argumentative writing. Finally, this chapter is divided into three main parts:

- (1) Argumentation in Educational Contexts
- (2) The Role of AI in Education, and
- (3) Integration of AI and Argumentation Models.

2.1. Argumentation in Educational Contexts

Argumentation is one of the most important pedagogical tools for higher-order thinking skills, allowing students to evaluate evidence, construct logical arguments, and engage in meaningful debates (Katie & Trevor, 2021). Toulmin's Argument Model, first outlined in *The Uses of Argument* (1958), is probably still one of the most useful outlines for the analysis and teaching of argumentation. The model includes six components: claim, grounds, warrant, backing, qualifier, and rebuttal, which provide an ordered framework for constructing arguments (Cornelia, Magnus, & Linnéa, 2024). Studies have shown that inclusion of argumentation exercises in the curricula enhances students' critical thinking and reasoning skills (Nussbaum, 2022).

Argumentation has been widely utilized in classrooms for developing the analytical talents of students from all subjects like science, social studies, and language arts (Faraon, Granlund, & Ronkko, 2023). For instance, Kuhn and Crowell (2011) mentioned that argumentation enhances metacognitive awareness since the students begin to examine their thought process. As mentioned by Felton et al. (2019) structured argumentation frameworks enable students to present their views cohesively and defend them. Teaching, in the argumentation aspect, is tough since it demands much scaffolding, along with the development of certain linguistic competencies (Pierrès, Darvishy, & Christen, 2024).

2.2. The Role of AI in Education

Introduction of AI has modified the educational practices through innovative and extraordinary tools which assist in teaching and learning processes (Peng et al., 2023). Moreover, AI-based platforms, such as ChatGPT, Grammarly, or Turnitin have become more popular, especially regarding writing instruction, immediate feedback, and finally the results of learning outcomes (Pei-Shan, 2023). These tools are especially valuable in answering the multilateral needs of students because it adapts to an individual's way of learning and provides personal feedback (Luckin et al., 2023).

The value of AI in teaching the skill of writing is best represented by its application in teaching and instruction to write argumentative essays. For example, one study showed that AI applications can scan for coherence in text along with logical flow and evidence-based reasoning supportive for students developing well-structured arguments (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). What's more, AI applications promote engagement through interactive learning environments designed to mirror real-world conditions (Molinet, Marro, Cabrio, & Villata, 2024). According to Chen et al. (2021), such tools allow learners to try out different argumentative moves and receive instant feedback with incremental improvements toward their writing.

While AI has several benefits, it also has issues on ethical and overreliance aspects by students. According to Selwyn (2022), an uncritical embracement of AI in education may reduce the capabilities of students to exercise independent critical thought. In that respect, the balanced use of AI tools requires their roles as supplementary tools instead of being stand-ins for traditional pedagogical methods (Larrain et al., 2021).

2.3. AI and Argumentation Models Integration

It is a promising area for the fusion of AI and argumentation models, like Toulmin's, that can promote critical thinking and linguistic capabilities (Abukhadijah & Nashwan, 2024). AI can break down argumentative constituents and identify claims, warrants, and rebuttals as students write. This will certainly lighten the instructors' workload and will provide constant feedback for the students (Gibson et al., 2023).

Recent studies have just started to research the degree to which AI tools facilitate argumentative writing. For instance, Zhang et al. (2020) explored the application of AI in argumentative essay analysis and demonstrated its value in enhancing student writings. In fact, the tool helped illustrate good and poor logical consistency by basing its recommendations on the evidence for improvement. Likewise, Bhatia et al. (2021) established that AI-powered tools were instrumental in students' understanding how complex structures of argumentation operate and bringing about deeper comprehension of logical frameworks.

Despite these developments, AI in argumentation has yet to be extensively explored, especially for linguistically diverse classrooms. Kim and Li (2022) study found that language barriers and cultural differences may interfere with teaching argumentation through AI tools. Additionally, concerns regarding the accuracy of AI-generated feedback and ethical

implications require deeper insights into how the same can be optimized for equitable use and responsibility.

The literature highlights the transformative potential of AI in education and the development of argumentative models, such as Toulmin's. While AI contributes innovative pedagogical solutions, the integration must be considered in the light of contextual factors along with the ethical and practical considerations that are present (Ahmet, 2024). This article highlights the need for further study of the more subtle interaction between AI and argumentation, thereby expanding further areas still underdeveloped in knowledge, and serves as a guide for the effective implementation of the technology in schools.

3. Research Methodology

A combination of qualitative and quantitative techniques will be used to try to get a total understanding of the use of AI tools to bolster argumentative writing and critical thinking, particularly in conjunction with Toulmin's Argument Model.

3.1. Research Design

This research embraced a mixed-method approach to achieve depth as well as breadth in the study. The incorporation of qualitative and quantitative methods was necessary to ensure thoroughness in the analysis of the research objectives.

3.1.1. Qualitative Analysis:

Toulmin's Argument Model was used to analyze the argumentative structures of student essays for the analysis of logical coherence and their reasoning patterns.

3.1.2. Quantitative Analysis:

Statistical techniques have been applied in order to analyze how the AI tools impact the students' argumentative performance; data analysis was performed with SPSS Version 19. The amalgamation of these methods permits triangulation, thereby increasing validity and reliability in the findings.

3.2. Participants

The study involved 100 undergraduate students and 10 educators from universities offering English language and literature programs.

- **Students:** Participants were selected using stratified random sampling to ensure diversity in language proficiency and exposure to AI tools.
- **Educators:** Purposeful sampling was used to identify educators experienced in teaching argumentation and critical thinking.

3.3. Data Collection

3.3.1. Qualitative Data

- **Student Essays:** A corpus of 200 argumentative essays was collected. Half of these essays were AI-assisted (e.g., using ChatGPT), while the other half were written without AI assistance.
- **Interviews:** Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 15 students and 5 educators to explore their experiences with AI in writing and teaching.

3.3.2. Quantitative Data

- **Pre-test and Post-test Scores:** Students participated in a pre-test (without AI) and a post-test (with AI) to measure improvements in argumentative writing.
- **Questionnaire:** A Likert-scale questionnaire was distributed to students to assess their perceptions of AI's effectiveness in improving their writing.

3.4. Instruments

1. **Toulmin's Argument Model:** Used as an analytical framework to evaluate the components of argumentative writing (claim, grounds, warrant, backing, qualifier, and rebuttal).

2. **SPSS Version 19:** Utilized for statistical analysis, including descriptive statistics, paired-sample t-tests, and regression analysis to determine the impact of AI on students' performance.
3. **Interview Guide:** Designed to collect qualitative insights from students and educators.

3.5. Data Analysis

3.5.1. Qualitative Analysis

The collected essays were analyzed using Toulmin's model to identify recurring patterns and deviations in argumentative structures. Thematic analysis was applied to interview transcripts to identify key themes related to AI's role in teaching and learning argumentation.

3.5.2. Quantitative Analysis

SPSS Version 19 was used to analyze the quantitative data:

- **Descriptive Statistics:** To summarize students' pre-test and post-test scores and responses to the questionnaire.
- **Paired-Sample T-Tests:** To compare the mean differences in students' performance before and after using AI tools.
- **Regression Analysis:** To explore the predictive relationship between AI usage and improvements in argumentative quality.

3.6. Ethical Considerations

Informed Consent: All the participants were exposed to the purpose of the study and given written consent before participation.

Confidentiality:

The participant data was anonymized, and results presented in aggregate form.

Voluntary Participation:

Participants were informed that they are at liberty to withdraw at any time without any further penalty.

4. Analysis and Results

4.1. Quantitative Analysis

The quantitative analysis thus juxtaposes with the study's objectives, which involve the evaluation of AI tools on argumentative writing as well as students' and educators' perceptions regarding their integration into education.

4.1.1. Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics is calculated to summarize performance differences between argumentative essays written before and after the use of AI tools. Differences are mapped to components of Toulmin's model of corresponding progressions on the part of students in argumentation skills.

Table 1:

Descriptive Statistics of Pre-Test and Post-Test Scores

Measure	Mean (Pre-Test)	Mean (Post-Test)	Standard Deviation (Pre-Test)	Standard Deviation (Post-Test)
Argument Quality	6.4	8.9	1.2	0.9
Logical Coherence	6.1	8.6	1.3	1.1
Use of Rebuttals	5.7	8.2	1.5	1.2

Therefore, the post-test results show significant increases in the three dimensions that were measured: argumentative quality, logical coherence, and provided rebuttals. All these correspond to the research aim of analyzing the effects that AI tools, such as ChatGPT and Grammarly, impose on the quality of structural and logical coherence in argumentative writing. For example:

- **Argument Quality:**

Essays had stronger statements which were supported with better diverse evidence, which was depicted how AI helped in presenting a well-rounded argument.

- **Logical Coherence:**

The coherence between premises and conclusions was enhanced due to the fact that students exploited AI suggestions while organizing their essays.

- **Use of Rebuttals:**

With the use of AI tools, students began to think in advance and include counterarguments that the non-assisted essays lacked.

4.1.2. Paired-Sample T-Test

This test shows the establishment of statistical significance within the improvements seen.

These paired-sample tests compare differences between pre-test and post-test scores from the same participants.

Table 2:

Paired-Sample T-Test Results

Measure	t-Value	p-Value	Significance ($p < 0.05$)
Argument Quality	9.45	0.001	Yes
Logical Coherence	8.67	0.001	Yes
Use of Rebuttals	7.23	0.001	Yes

The t-test proves improvements in all dimensions of argumentative writing. For example, the t-value for "Argument Quality" indicates a substantial positive effect of AI usage, with p-values well below 0.05. This aligns with the research question regarding how AI tools improve argumentative structures by aiding students in generating evidence and articulating coherent claims.

4.1.3. Regression Analysis

Regression analysis was employed to determine the predictive relationship between AI tool usage (independent variable) and improvements in argumentative writing (dependent variable).

Table 3:

Regression Analysis of AI Usage and Argument Quality

Model	R ²	F-Statistic	p-Value
AI Usage → Argument Quality	0.62	45.67	0.001

The model explains 62% of the variance in argument quality, a substantial contribution indicating that AI tools are a significant predictor of improvement. This supports the objective of exploring the effectiveness of AI-assisted writing in enhancing critical thinking and reasoning.

4.2. Qualitative Analysis

4.2.1. Toulmin's Argument Model Analysis

Qualitative data were analyzed by mapping students' essays onto Toulmin's framework. Patterns in the use of claims, warrants, and rebuttals highlighted improvements in argumentation structure after using AI tools.

Example Analysis:

Non-AI-Assisted Essay:

- **Claim:** "AI is useful in education."
- **Grounds:** "It helps with assignments."
- **Rebuttal:** Not present.

AI-Assisted Essay:

- **Claim:** "AI tools are revolutionizing education by enhancing accessibility and efficiency."
- **Grounds:** "AI organizes information, offers real-time feedback, and provides alternative perspectives, helping students refine their arguments."
- **Rebuttal:** "Whereas AI promotes dependency, controlled deployment can augment traditional training and promote independent thinking. ""

AI-assisted essays showed specificity in claims and also backed evidence-driven counterarguments to claims, suggesting the impact of these tools in encouraging critical engagement with counterarguments.

4.2.2. Thematic Findings Based on Interviews

Semi-structured interviews with teachers and students provided three major themes:

1. Improved clarity and interest:

Pupils appreciated the systematic feedback provided by AI, which ensured that ideas were clarified while sustaining interest in the writing process.

2. Dangers of over-reliance:

The teachers feared that students began to rely so much on AI tools that they ended up losing independent analytical capabilities.

3. Hybrid approaches:

Both parties resolved to blended models where the use of AI tools and traditional methods are fully integrated for optimal learning.

4.2.3. Comparative Analysis of Essay Features

Comparative analysis was performed between AI-assisted and non-assisted essays to gauge developments in Toulmin's argumentative components.

Table 4:

Comparison of Toulmin's Model Components in Essays

Component	Non-AI-Assisted Essays	AI-Assisted Essays
Claims	General and vague	Specific and focused
Grounds	Limited evidence	Rich, diverse, and relevant
Warrants	Implicit or missing	Explicit and logically connected
Rebuttals	Rarely included	Frequently and effectively included

The table represents significant progressions in argumentative structures within AI-supported essays about the research question of whether patterns emerged in the arguments AI supports.

5. Results and Discussion

The quality of argumentative writing is improved by AI tools. Evidence use and rebuttal in text, as well as logical coherence, improve with the use of AI tools. Such findings validate the

study's objectives: namely, to discover how AI can support students applying Toulmin's Argument Model and to explore patterns in the structure of arguments in AI-supported writing.

The main outcomes are as follows

- Superficially, the results of the AI-assisted essays showed greater precision in the claims made and the evidence presented as well as statistically increased post-test scores.
- Rebuttals were found to appear much more frequently in the AI-assisted essays, demonstrating a level of counter-argument engagement.
- Perceived Value of AI Tools: There was a perception among students that AI tools would allow for immediate feedback and support; educators felt that AI could be a helpful complement to teaching.

5.1. Discussion of Results

5.1.1. Effectiveness of AI in Improving Argumentative Writing

The quantitative findings, based on the descriptive statistics and paired-sample t-tests, have shown that the quality of argumentative writing significantly improved after students used AI tools. For instance, the mean score for argument quality rose from 6.4 (pre-test) to 8.9 (post-test). This is consistent with the earlier study by Zhang et al. (2020), which showed that AI tools improve the construction of well-organized and coherent arguments among students.

The tools helped to come up with ideas, organize, and polish arguments in favor of well-supported claims. AI tools like Grammarly and ChatGPT made significant contributions in enhancing quality essay writing because of contextual feedback.

5.1.2. Critical Engagement Through AI

For rebuttal-a skill quite obviously challenging for most students-there is a mean score increase from 5.7 on the pre-test to 8.2 on the post-test. This would indicate that the use of AI tools inspires students to think ahead to potential counterarguments-the heart of critical thinking.

Studies by Bhatia et al. (2021) state that AI can represent debates and facilitate alternative perspectives, which may make students engage with the opposing views. In this study findings seem to validate those observations; in fact, AI has the potential to encourage critical engagement.

5.1.3. Students' and Educators' Perception

Qualitative data revealed positive perceptions of AI tools. Students found these tools helpful for brainstorming and revising arguments, while educators acknowledged their utility in reducing the time required for providing feedback.

Some instructors raised their concern on over-reliance on AI, a warning declared by Selwyn (2022), that independent thoughts may be affected. In fact, the required balance should be an integration of AI as a complement in lieu of replacing traditional teaching.

5.1.4. Patterns in AI-Assisted Argumentative Structures

Utilizing Toulmin's Argument Model, AI-assisted essays followed the model components more closely. Claims were more specific, evidence was varied and pertinent, and warrants were explicit and logically related.

Example Comparison:

- **Non-AI-Assisted Claim:** "AI is useful in education."
- **AI-Assisted Claim:** "AI tools revolutionize education by enhancing accessibility, efficiency, and individualized feedback."

- **Interpretation:** The shift from generic to specific claims demonstrates AI's role in helping students refine their arguments. This finding aligns with the research objective of identifying recurring patterns in AI-supported argumentative writing.

5.2. Addressing the Research Objectives and Questions

Researchers can answer all research questions directly from the findings:

1. How do AI tools impact students' quality of argumentative writing?
2. What are some of the most recurring patterns in argumentative structures when using AI compared to other traditional ways of doing things?

The essays produced by AI showed more of Toulmin's components with precise claims, abundant evidence, and clear warrants consistently appearing.

3. What attitudes do students and educators have toward AI as part of the teaching-learning environment for argumentation?

The need for students and educators to embrace AI as a useful resource for improving the writing skills of students comes with reservations on dependency and ethical usage.

AI tools dramatically enhance essays with respect to the structural and logical quality they allow for; thereby, students easily construct specific claims with strong, rebutting moves.

5.3. Implications of the Findings

• For Educators

The study highlights the potential of AI tools to complement traditional teaching methods. Educators can use AI as a scaffold to help students develop critical thinking skills, particularly in constructing logical arguments and addressing counterarguments.

• For Policy-Makers

The findings support the integration of AI into curricula, emphasizing the need for training programs to help educators and students effectively use these tools.

• For Researchers

This study provides a foundation for further research into the ethical and practical implications of AI in education, including its role in promoting equity and addressing biases.

6. Conclusion

As such, the findings underscore the transformative potential of AI that can raise argumentative quality significantly, logical coherence as well as the quality of critical engagement. However, the lessons emerging from these studies still point to the threats to over-reliance on AI and ethical considerations in such practice; the need for appropriate, meaningful infusion of AI in education, which allows it to positively foster a generation that is critically equipped for the complexities of modern life.

The integration of AI tools into educational practices has emerged as a revolutionary approach to enhancing critical thinking and argumentative writing. This paper explored how AI tools were applied in light of Toulmin's Argument Model and examined the quality of argumentative essays and the perceptions of students and educators. The results showed that AI tools strongly enhance the structural, logical, and critical dimensions of argumentative writing, yet with challenges that demand careful reflection.

7. Future Directions

7.1. Integrating Ethical AI

The future research will be directed towards appropriate solution for the ethical challenges posed by AI for education, including issues of data privacy, bias in AI generated content, and academic integrity. The benefits will be best unlocked by frameworks for responsible use of AI.

7.2. AI and Multimodal Argumentation

With the development of AI, its uses can go as far as to include multimodal argumentation that will incorporate text, graphics, and audio. Knowing how these advances would affect students' ability to argue in complex presentations would bring a lot of value.

7.3. Interdisciplinary Applications

Applying AI in teaching argumentation across disciplines in science, law, and social studies could prove vital in providing an overview of AI applicability and efficacy.

7.4. Personalization and Localization

Future studies can focus on the ways by which AI devices can be tailored to specific cultural and linguistic demands to remain relevant and effective in different academic settings.

7.5. AI-Facilitated Collaborative Learning

It would be possible for researchers to analyze how AI devices can provide collaborative learning environments that give students opportunities to construct arguments in cohorts and provide peer feedback with the support of AI-driven platforms.

This study proves the capability of AI in how it can produce critical thinking and argumentative writing. While the results verified the positive impact of AI, they also laid down the gap between its incorporation and a balanced respect for human creativity and the ethical considerations it supposes. Thoughtful approaches by educators, policy-makers, and researchers can orchestrate AI's hands in developing a generation of learners equipped with critical skills required to deal with complexities at every angle of 21st-century living. The outcomes were results that paved a way for future exploration, ensuring AI would be a strong ally in the pursuit of excellence in education.

References

- Abukhadajah, H. J., & Nashwan, A. J. (2024). Transforming Hospital Quality Improvement Through Harnessing the Power of Artificial Intelligence. In *Global journal on quality and safety in healthcare* (Vol. 7, pp. 132-139). United States: Innovations Journals.
- Ahmed, M., Rahim, T., & Nasar, Z. (2023). Role of AI-Supported Serious Simulation Games in Urban Planning Education. In *2023 16th International Conference on Developments in eSystems Engineering (DeSE), Developments in eSystems Engineering (DeSE), 2023 16th International Conference on* (pp. 599-604): IEEE.
- Ahmet, K. (2024). Ethical AI cannot be fostered in a vacuum: why AI ethics research needs industry involvement. In *Discover Artificial Intelligence* (Vol. 4, pp. 1-7): Springer.
- Arendt, B., & Ehrlich, S. Z. (2024). Repetitions as a participation practice in children's argumentative peer interactions. *European Journal of Psychology of Education - EJPE* (Springer Science & Business Media B.V.), 39(3), 1719-1738. doi:10.1007/s10212-024-00873-y
- Bhatia, A., et al. (2021). AI-powered tools in argumentative writing: Enhancing reasoning and coherence. *Computers & Education*, 175, 104302.
- Black, E., Brandão, M., Cocarascu, O., De Keijzer, B., Du, Y., Long, D., . . . Woolridge, M. (2022). Reasoning and interaction for social artificial intelligence. *AI Communications*, 35(4), 309-325. <https://doi:10.3233/AIC-220133>
- Chen, J., et al. (2021). Interactive AI systems for critical thinking: An empirical study. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 29(2), 240-256.
- Chuang, P.-L., & Yan, X. (2022). An investigation of the relationship between argument structure and essay quality in assessed writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 56, N.PAG-N.PAG. <https://doi:10.1016/j.jslw.2022.100892>
- Cornelia, L., Magnus, H., & Linnéa, S. (2024). Competing Visions of Artificial Intelligence in Education--A Heuristic Analysis on Sociotechnical Imaginaries and Problematizations in Policy Guidelines. *Policy Futures in Education*, 22(8), 1662-1678. Retrieved from <https://research.ebsco.com/linkprocessor/plink?id=6336f3ce-4b78-39b3-9c1b-6ebe5acf5d5a>
- Faraon, M., Granlund, V., & Ronkko, K. (2023). Artificial Intelligence Practices in Higher Education Using Bloom's Digital Taxonomy. In *2023 5th International Workshop on Artificial Intelligence and Education (WAIE), Artificial Intelligence and Education (WAIE), 2023 5th International Workshop on, WAIE* (pp. 53-59): IEEE.
- Gibson, L., et al. (2023). AI for education: Transformative practices in teaching writing and reasoning. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 71, 145-165.
- Hauptmann, C., Krenzer, A., Völkel, J., & Puppe, F. (2024). Argumentation effect of a chatbot for ethical discussions about autonomous AI scenarios. *Knowledge and Information Systems: An International Journal*, 66(6), 3607-3637. <https://doi:10.1007/s10115-024-02074-x>
- João Manoel da Silva, M., & Odete Pacubi Baierl, T. (2020). Communicative process in a teacher training course: an analysis based on the argumentary principles of Perelman and Olbrechts-Tyteca. In *Investigações em Ensino de Ciências* (Vol. 25, pp. 306-328): Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul.
- Katie, A., & Trevor, B.-C. (2021). Argumentation schemes in AI and Law. In *Argument & Computation* (Vol. 12, pp. 417-434): IOS Press.
- Kim, Y., & Li, J. (2022). Cultural influences on the adoption of AI in argumentation teaching. *Language Learning & Technology*, 26(1), 90-110.

- Kruit, P. M., Bredeweg, B., & Nieuwelink, H. (2024). Enhancing students' argumentation skills, content knowledge, and Nature of Science understanding through a web-based educational instrument in the context of socio-scientific issues. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE EDUCATION*. doi:10.1080/09500693.2024.2348824
- Larrain, A., Singer, V., Strasser, K., Howe, C., López, P., Pinochet, J., . . . Villavicencio, C. (2021). Argumentation Skills Mediate the Effect of Peer Argumentation on Content Knowledge in Middle-School Students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 113(4), 736-753. <https://doi:10.1037/edu0000619>
- Li, H. H., & Zhang, L. J. (2022). Investigating Effects of Small-Group Student Talk on the Quality of Argument in Chinese Tertiary English as a Foreign Language Learners' Argumentative Writing. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2022.868045
- Lucas, R., Damiano, V., Serena, B., & Luca, L. (2024). A Novel Integration of Data-Driven Rule Generation and Computational Argumentation for Enhanced Explainable AI. In *Machine Learning and Knowledge Extraction (Vol. 6, pp. 2049-2073)*: MDPI AG.
- Luckin, R., et al. (2023). Personalized learning through AI: Opportunities and challenges. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 54(3), 512-530.
- Molinet, B., Marro, S., Cabrio, E., & Villata, S. (2024). Explanatory argumentation in natural language for correct and incorrect medical diagnoses. *Journal of Biomedical Semantics*, 15(1). <https://doi:10.1186/s13326-024-00306-1>
- Pei-Shan, T. (2023). Research on Information Searching Strategies in High School Students' Quality of Argumentative Essay Writing. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(10), 6799-6817. Retrieved from <https://research.ebsco.com/linkprocessor/plink?id=c3759f8d-c7f7-3992-b2f2-3888b8c261d7>
- Peng, Y., Sun, J., Quan, J., Wang, Y., Lv, C., & Zhang, H. (2023). Predicting Chinese EFL Learners' Human- Rated Writing Quality in Argumentative Writing through Multidimensional Computational Indices of Lexical Complexity. *Assessing Writing: An International Journal*, 56. <https://doi:10.1016/j.asw.2023.100722>
- Pierrès, O., Darvishy, A., & Christen, M. (2024). Exploring the role of generative AI in higher education: Semi-structured interviews with students with disabilities. *Education and Information Technologies: The Official Journal of the IFIP Technical Committee on Education*, 1-30. <https://doi:10.1007/s10639-024-13134-8>
- Rui, Y. (2022). An empirical study on the scaffolding Chinese university students' English argumentative writing based on toulmin model. In *Heliyon (Vol. 8)*: Elsevier.
- Selwyn, N. (2022). AI and critical thinking: Ethical challenges in the classroom. *Learning, Media, and Technology*, 47(4), 319-336.
- Spears, V. P. (2021). Argumentation in AI. In *RAIL: The Journal of Robotics, Artificial Intelligence & Law (Vol. 4, pp. 323-326)*.
- Volkan, D. (2024). Analyzing Teacher Candidates' Arguments on AI Integration in Education via Different Chatbots. *Digital Education Review(45)*, 68-83. Retrieved from <https://research.ebsco.com/linkprocessor/plink?id=82d6566c-50ed-369c-bfbc-cf73ed003cec>
- Yang, R. (2022). An empirical study on the scaffolding Chinese university students' English argumentative writing based on toulmin model. In *Heliyon (Vol. 8, pp. e12199)*. England: Elsevier Ltd.
- Zhang, Q., et al. (2020). Analyzing argumentative essays using AI: Implications for education. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 58(5), 998-1015.