Seerah as a Model of Communication Excellence: Responding to Islamophobia through the Prophetic Method of Peaceful Engagement

Muhammad Harris Suhaib
Research Scholar, Department of Islamic Studies, Al Ghazali University, Karachi.
harrissuhaib1999@gmail.com
Shiza Fatima
MPhil Scholar, Department of Islamic Studies, Fatima Jinnah Women University, Rawalpindi.
shizafatima669@gmail.com
Dr. M. Aminuddin
Assistant Professor, Department of Islamic Studies, Bahria University, Islamabad
maminuddin.buic@bahria.edu

Abstract

In the contemporary digital age, daʿwah has expanded beyond mosques and community halls into a globally interconnected online environment, where narratives about Islam circulate rapidly across social media platforms, forums, and digital news outlets, reaching unprecedented audiences (Campbell, 2013; Bunt, 2018). At the same time, Islamophobia—defined as ideologically driven hostility that dehumanizes, marginalizes, or demonizes Muslims—has proliferated in digital spaces, contributing to misinformation, harassment, and discrimination (Allen, 2010; Institute for Strategic Dialogue [ISD], 2023). Research indicates that online Islamophobic discourse often normalizes hate speech and can act as a precursor to offline violence, highlighting the seriousness of digital hostility toward Muslim communities (Butler, 2022). This study examines digital daʿwah through the lens of the Seerah (biography of the Prophet Muhammad (S.A.W.)), presenting it as a model of communication excellence for navigating contemporary digital challenges. By analysing selected Seerah incidents in relation to modern media dynamics, the paper identifies key Prophetic principles—wisdom (ḥikmah), gentleness (rifq), patience (ṣabr), clarity, and moral consistency—and demonstrates their continued relevance to ethical digital discourse (Armstrong, 2007; Watt, 1956). The study argues that a Seerah-guided approach to digital daʿwah is particularly practical in countering Islamophobia because it grounds online engagement in enduring moral ethics while remaining adaptable to modern communication technologies. Drawing on scholarship on online hate and algorithmic amplification, it shows that digital Islamophobia has intensified and often functions as an incubator for real-world discrimination and violence (Awan, 2016; ISD, 2023). Accordingly, the paper proposes a Seerah-based framework for responsible digital daʿwah that emphasises empathy, moral integrity, patient dialogue, and strategic media engagement, enabling Muslims to challenge Islamophobic narratives constructively and strengthen a confident, ethical, and globally resonant Islamic identity.
Keywords: Digital Daʿwah; Seerah; Prophetic Communication; Islamophobia; Global Islamic Identity; Peaceful Engagement; Online Hate

2 Introduction

The early twenty-first century has seen major transformations in communication technologies, reshaping how religious ideas are transmitted and contested. Digital platforms now enable Muslims to reach global audiences instantly, expanding daʿwah beyond traditional face-to-face settings (Bunt, 2018; Campbell, 2013). However, these same platforms also facilitate the rapid spread of

misinformation, polarisation, and hate-based narratives.

Within this digital environment, Islamophobic discourse—understood as a form of anti-Muslim racism targeting perceived Muslim identity—has intensified significantly (Allen, 2010; Institute for Strategic Dialogue [ISD], 2023). Evidence from the United Kingdom indicates that Islamophobic incidents have more than doubled in the past decade, while research shows that Islamophobia is more prevalent online than offline and often fuels real-world violence (Awan, 2016; Butler, 2022).

Against this backdrop, the Seerah of the Prophet Muhammad (S.A.W.) offers a practical ethical model for communication in hostile contexts. Despite enduring ridicule, exclusion, and violence, the Prophet (S.A.W.) consistently responded with patience (ṣabr), wisdom (ḥikmah), gentleness (rifq), and moral integrity, often transforming hostility through exemplary character rather than confrontation (Armstrong, 2007; Watt, 1956). Grounding digital daʿwah in this Prophetic methodology enables constructive responses to Islamophobia while maintaining ethical integrity, providing a foundation for analysing Prophetic communication in contemporary digital discourse.

2.1 Background and Significance

Digital Transformation and Islamophobia

The rapid expansion of digital communication technologies has significantly reshaped religious outreach and public discourse. Social media platforms have created unprecedented opportunities for daʿwah by enabling Muslims to share religious content, express identity, and engage global audiences instantaneously. At the same time, these platforms have facilitated the spread of prejudice, misinformation, and hate-based narratives. Empirical evidence shows that Islamophobia has intensified alongside digital transformation. In the United States, Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) data indicate that Islamophobic hate crimes increased from 29 incidents in 2000 to 110 in 2020, reflecting a marked rise in anti-Muslim hostility (Federal Bureau of Investigation, 2021). Similarly, research in Australia reports that approximately 80% of Muslims have experienced discrimination, while 88% perceive Islamophobia as increasing nationwide (Iner et al., 2019).

Importantly, Islamophobia now appears more prevalent online than offline. Digital platforms function as primary incubators for anti-Muslim sentiment, allowing hate speech to circulate widely with limited moderation (Awan, 2016). Studies of Twitter suggest that only about 15% of anti-Muslim posts are removed, enabling Islamophobic narratives to persist (Institute for Strategic Dialogue [ISD], 2023). Moreover, this content is geographically concentrated, with approximately 86% of geolocated anti-Muslim tweets originating from India, the United States, and the United Kingdom, highlighting the transnational yet uneven nature of digital hate (ISD, 2023).

Content analyses further reveal recurring Islamophobic themes, including associations between Islam and terrorism, portrayals of Muslim men as sexual predators, claims that Muslims seek to impose Islamic law, population-replacement conspiracy theories, and harassment of Muslim public figures (Awan & Zempi, 2017; ISD, 2023). These narratives distort Islamic teachings, reinforce fear, and contribute to the dehumanisation and social exclusion of Muslims within digital publics.

Definitions and Debates on Islamophobia

Despite its widespread use, the concept of Islamophobia remains contested in academic and political discourse. One of the earliest institutional definitions emerged from the Runnymede Trust's landmark 1997 report, which described Islamophobia as "unfounded hostility towards Islam" that results in discrimination, exclusion, and marginalisation of Muslims (Runnymede Trust, 1997). While influential, this definition focused primarily on hostility toward Islam as a religion and was criticised for potentially conflating legitimate critique of religious doctrines with prejudice against Muslims.

In response to these concerns, later definitions have emphasised the racialised dimensions of

Islamophobia. A 2018 inquiry by the UK All-Party Parliamentary Group (APPG) on British Muslims defined Islamophobia as "rooted in racism and a type of racism that targets expressions of Muslimness or perceived Muslimness" (APPG, 2018, p. 11). This formulation underscores that Islamophobia operates not merely as religious intolerance but as a form of racialised hate that can target individuals regardless of their actual beliefs. Supporting this view, the Institute for Strategic Dialogue notes that non-Muslims are sometimes attacked because they are perceived to be Muslim, further illustrating Islamophobia's function as a racialised social phenomenon (ISD, 2023).

The definitional debate also intersects with concerns about freedom of expression. Scholars and policy organisations stress that criticism of Islam as a belief system does not constitute Islamophobia unless it is used deliberately to incite hatred, discrimination, or violence against Muslims (Allen, 2010; ISD, 2023). This distinction is particularly significant in digital spaces, where the boundaries between robust debate, satire, and hate speech are frequently blurred. Clarifying these distinctions is essential for developing ethical responses that protect free speech while addressing genuine harm.

Non-Violent and Violent Manifestations of Islamophobia

Islamophobia manifests across a continuum ranging from subtle, non-violent discrimination to extreme acts of violence. Non-violent forms include negative and stereotypical media portrayals, exclusionary workplace practices, discriminatory legislation, and routine verbal harassment (Allen, 2010; ISD, 2023). These everyday experiences of marginalisation contribute to a climate of insecurity and social exclusion for Muslim communities.

At the end of this continuum are acts of mass violence inspired by anti-Muslim hatred. Notable examples include the 2019 Christchurch mosque attacks in New Zealand, in which 51 worshippers were killed; the 2019 Bærum mosque shooting in Norway; the 2017 Quebec City mosque attack in Canada; the 2017 Finsbury Park attack in London; and the 2011 attacks in Oslo and on Utøya Island in Norway (Awan, 2016; ISD, 2023). These incidents demonstrate how Islamophobic ideologies can escalate from online rhetoric into lethal violence.

Research has established a strong link between digital hate and offline attacks. The Christchurch perpetrator, for instance, was deeply radicalised through online Islamophobic communities and deliberately livestreamed his attack, generating a surge of anti-Muslim content across digital platforms in its aftermath (Conway et al., 2019). This pattern illustrates a cyclical dynamic in which online hate inspires physical violence, which then fuels further digital hostility. Breaking this cycle requires interventions that address not only violent extremism but also the everyday discursive environments that normalise anti-Muslim prejudice.

The Seerah as a Guide for Ethical Digital Engagement

Within this context of escalating digital hostility, the Seerah of the Prophet Muhammad (S.A.W.) offers a compelling framework for ethical and effective communication. The Seerah records numerous instances in which the Prophet faced ridicule, persecution, physical violence, and intense rhetorical opposition. However, his responses consistently embodied patience (ṣabr), wisdom (ḥikmah), gentleness (rifq), and mercy. Examples include his strategic restraint during the Treaty of Hudaybiyyah, his general amnesty toward former enemies following the conquest of Mecca, and his humane treatment of prisoners and adversaries (Watt, 1956; Armstrong, 2007).

These narratives are not merely devotional or hagiographic; they provide practical guidance for navigating hostile communicative environments. A Seerah-based approach to digital daʿwah emphasises that effective outreach depends not only on the content of the message but also on the moral character, emotional discipline, and strategic patience of the messenger. In digital spaces characterised by provocation, outrage, and polarisation, such an orientation is particularly valuable. By grounding online engagement in Prophetic ethics, Muslims can respond to Islamophobia

constructively, resist reactive hostility, and model an alternative form of discourse rooted in dignity, compassion, and moral consistency.

2.2 Purpose and Objectives

The primary purpose of this research is to explore how the Prophetic Seerah can inform ethical and practical digital daʿwah. In particular, it aims to:

1.   **Identify Prophetic communication principles**—such as wisdom, gentleness, patience, clarity, and moral consistency—and articulate how they address the challenges of Islamophobia in digital environments.

2.   **Analyse Seerah incidents** as case studies illustrating peaceful engagement in the face of hostility, including the Prophet's actions during the Treaty of Hudaybiyyah, his letters to international rulers, and his interactions with individuals who insulted him.

3.   **Examine contemporary digital daʿwah practices** and their rhetorical strategies—such as simplification, intertextuality, empathetic framing, code-switching, and multimodal communication—which help debunk Islamophobic narratives. We will also assess structural and algorithmic limitations that hinder the effectiveness of online daʿwah.

4.   **Develop a Seerah-based ethical framework** for digital daʿwah that aligns timeless Prophetic wisdom with the realities of modern media. This framework aims to enhance communicative effectiveness while fostering a confident, globally resonant Islamic identity.

2.3 Research Questions and Hypotheses

To achieve these objectives, the study is guided by the following research questions and hypotheses:

Research Questions

1.   What communication principles emerge from the Seerah of the Prophet Muhammad (S.A.W.), and how do they relate to modern communication theories?

2.   How did the Prophet respond to hostility, misunderstanding, and Islamophobia-like attitudes through peaceful engagement, and what lessons can be applied to digital discourse?

3.   How are contemporary digital daʿwah platforms and practitioners utilising rhetorical strategies to counter Islamophobia, and what limitations do they face?

4.   How can Prophetic communication principles be integrated with modern digital practices to develop an ethical framework for online daʿwah that counters Islamophobia effectively?

Hypotheses

1.   **H1:** A Seerah-based approach to communication enhances both ethical and persuasive effectiveness of digital daʿwah, reducing the likelihood of reactive or hostile responses.

2.   **H2:** Peaceful engagement rooted in Prophetic methodology—characterised by wisdom, gentleness, patience, clarity, and moral consistency—is more effective than confrontational approaches in countering Islamophobia.

3.   **H3:** Integrating Seerah-guided ethics with modern rhetorical strategies (e.g., simplification, intertextuality, empathetic framing, code-switching, and multimodal elements) can counter Islamophobic narratives and foster a confident and globally resonant Islamic identity.

3 Literature Review

3.1 Islamophobia and Its Dynamics

Islamophobia has been defined in multiple ways in academic and policy literature, reflecting the complexity of anti-Muslim prejudice. An early and influential definition was provided by the Runnymede Trust (1997), which described Islamophobia as "unfounded hostility towards Islam," leading to discrimination and exclusion of Muslims. While foundational, this definition focused primarily on hostility toward Islam as a belief system and was later critiqued for insufficiently

4

addressing the racialised dimensions of anti-Muslim prejudice.

Subsequent scholarship increasingly frames Islamophobia as a form of racism. The UK All-Party Parliamentary Group (APPG) on British Muslims defined Islamophobia as "rooted in racism" and as targeting "expressions of Muslimness or perceived Muslimness" (APPG, 2018, p. 11). This understanding highlights Islamophobia as a racialised social phenomenon that can affect individuals regardless of belief. Supporting this view, the Institute for Strategic Dialogue (ISD) notes that anti-Muslim hatred often targets non-Muslims perceived to be Muslim, underscoring the role of visual and cultural markers rather than theology alone (ISD, 2023).

The definitional debate intersects with freedom of expression. Scholars stress that criticism of Islam does not constitute Islamophobia unless it is used to incite hatred, discrimination, or violence against Muslims (Allen, 2010; ISD, 2023). This distinction is particularly significant in digital spaces, where satire, polemic, and hate speech frequently overlap.

Islamophobia manifests across both non-violent and violent spectrums. Non-violent expressions include negative media portrayals, employment discrimination, restrictive legislation, surveillance, and everyday micro-aggressions (Allen, 2010; Runnymede Trust, 1997). Violent manifestations include attacks such as the 2019 Christchurch mosque massacres, the Bærum mosque shooting, the Quebec City mosque attack, the Finsbury Park attack, and the 2011 Oslo and Utøya attacks (Awan, 2016; Conway et al., 2019). These incidents reveal a transnational pattern of far-right extremism sustained by global digital networks.

Digital Islamophobia

Digital environments have significantly amplified the scale and speed of Islamophobic discourse. Butler (2022) found that at least 3.7 million Islamophobic posts were published on Twitter between August 2019 and August 2021, with approximately 85% remaining online for nearly a year. This persistence enables the normalisation and widespread circulation of anti-Muslim narratives.

Research consistently shows that Islamophobic hate is more prevalent online than offline and that digital hostility often fuels real-world violence (Awan, 2016; Butler, 2022). Spikes in online hate frequently coincide with geopolitical events, terrorist incidents, or intense media coverage involving Muslims (ISD, 2023). Common themes include associating Islam with terrorism, portraying Muslim men as sexual predators, claiming Muslims seek to impose Sharia law, and promoting demographic "replacement" conspiracies (Awan & Zempi, 2017; ISD, 2023). These dynamics highlight the urgent need for effective counter-discursive strategies.

3.2 Digital Daʿwah and Counter-Islamophobia Strategies

Daʿwah is the invitation to Islam through teaching, dialogue, and exemplary moral conduct. In the digital era, online daʿwah has emerged as a crucial counter-narrative to Islamophobic discourse. Butt and Anwar (2025) identify several rhetorical and linguistic strategies used by Muslim digital communicators to counter misrepresentation.

Simplification involves presenting Islamic beliefs in accessible language to reduce cognitive barriers (Butt & Anwar, 2025). Intertextuality draws on Qurʾanic verses, ḥadīth, classical scholarship, and contemporary cultural references to enhance credibility. Empathetic framing humanises Muslims by sharing lived experiences and emphasising shared values, countering dehumanising stereotypes (Awan & Zempi, 2017).

Code-switching and multilingualism are particularly important in diverse societies. Butt and Anwar (2025) note that blending languages such as English, Urdu, and Roman Urdu broadens reach and signals cultural belonging. In contexts like Pakistan, digital discourse is highly hybrid, incorporating emojis, abbreviations, and non-standard spellings to convey tone and immediacy (Crystal, 2011; Tagg, 2015). Multimodal communication, combining text, images, memes, and video, further

enhances engagement and visibility (Campbell, 2013).

Despite this potential, structural barriers remain. Butt and Anwar (2025) identify algorithmic suppression, limited audience interaction, and restrictive socio-political contexts as key challenges. These findings align with broader research showing that digital communication is shaped by platform architectures and community norms rather than linguistic deficiency (Crystal, 2011; Tagg, 2015). Overall, the effectiveness of digital daʿwah depends on both rhetorical competence and ethical orientation, remarkably when grounded in Seerah-based principles.

3.3 Seerah and Prophetic Communication

Islamic scholars have long emphasised the Prophet Muhammad's (S.A.W.) exceptional communication skills. Watt (1956) highlights the Prophet's preference for negotiation, exemplified by the Treaty of Hudaybiyyah, where short-term concessions yielded long-term strategic success. Armstrong (2007) emphasises his compassion and patience in the face of hostility.

The Seerah provides numerous examples of communication excellence, including the Prophet's diplomatic letters to rulers such as Heraclius, Chosroes II, and the Negus of Abyssinia, which combined courtesy with moral clarity. His merciful response at Taʾif, where he prayed for his attackers rather than seeking revenge, and his declaration of general amnesty during the conquest of Mecca—"Go, for you are free"—further illustrate his commitment to peaceful engagement. Everyday interactions, including gentle correction of mistakes and restraint in the face of insult, demonstrate consistent moral conduct. These examples form the foundation of the Prophetic model applied in this study.

3.4 Communication Theory and Ethics

Communication theory provides complementary frameworks for understanding ethical persuasion. Aristotle's rhetoric emphasises ethos (credibility), pathos (emotional appeal), and logos (logical reasoning), while Habermas's theory of communicative action stresses rational dialogue free from coercion. In conflict resolution, Fisher and Ury advocate principled negotiation focused on interests rather than positions.

These frameworks resonate strongly with Prophetic communication principles. Moral consistency aligns with ethos, appeals to shared humanity align with pathos, and clarity aligns with logos. In digital contexts, however, these principles must be adapted to multimodal formats and emotionally charged interactions. Integrating communication theory with Seerah-based ethics strengthens the case for principled, persuasive, and peaceful digital engagement.

4 Methodology

This study utilises a **qualitative, interpretive methodology** combining historical analysis of primary Islamic sources with content analysis of contemporary digital daʿwah practices and Islamophobia research. The methodology has four stages:

1.   **Source selection:** Primary sources include classical biographies (e.g., Ibn Ishaq's *Sīrat Rasūl Allah* and later compilations), compilations of prophetic sayings (hadith), and early historical chronicles. Secondary sources comprise academic works on Seerah, communication theory, Islamic ethics, digital media studies, and Islamophobia. Recent reports on digital hate, such as Butler's *Islamophobia in the digital age*, and the ISD explainer on Islamophobia, were consulted to provide empirical data.

2.   **Thematic analysis:** Seerah incidents were coded to identify communication principles such as wisdom, gentleness, patience, clarity, and moral consistency.

3.   **Comparative synthesis:** The Prophetic principles extracted from Seerah were compared with contemporary rhetorical strategies and communication theories.

4.   **Framework development:** Based on the thematic analysis and comparative synthesis, a

normative framework for Seerah-based digital daʿwah was developed. This framework outlines principles, strategies, and practical recommendations for Muslims engaged in online outreach.

5 Findings / Results

5.1 Identification of Prophetic Communication Principles

The thematic analysis of Seerah incidents identifies five core communication principles that underpinned the Prophet Muhammad's (S.A.W.) approach to daʿwah:

1. Wisdom (ḥikmah): The ability to adapt message and method to context. During the Treaty of Hudaybiyyah, the Prophet (S.A.W.) accepted apparently unfavourable terms to secure long-term peace and strategic advantage. In his letters to rulers, he tailored language to the audience, referencing biblical traditions for Christian leaders and emphasising monotheism when addressing pagan rulers.

2. Gentleness (rifq): Kindness and respect, even when wronged, formed a central component of the Prophet's communicative ethic. He taught that "gentleness is not found in anything except that it beautifies it, and it is not removed from anything except that it makes it defective" (Muslim, 2007). This principle was reflected in practice: when a Bedouin urinated in the mosque, the Prophet (S.A.W.) calmly prevented his companions from intervening harshly and later corrected the man with patience and compassion (Bukhari, 2009). Likewise, in the face of verbal abuse or physical harm, he consistently chose restraint, forgiveness, and supplication, demonstrating gentleness as both a moral virtue and an effective means of de-escalating conflict.

3. Patience (ṣabr): The Prophet (S.A.W.) endured prolonged persecution in Mecca, humiliation at Taʾif, and repeated insults without resorting to impulsive reactions. He emphasised patience as a source of strength and relied on divine timing, allowing him to pursue long-term objectives without compromising ethical conduct.

4. Clarity (bayān): The Prophet (S.A.W.) communicated Islamic teachings clearly and honestly, using parables, stories, and direct explanations to ensure understanding. He corrected misconceptions politely, avoided ambiguity, and demonstrated transparency in his intentions and actions.

5. Moral consistency (integrity): The alignment between word and deed was central to the Prophet's credibility. Known as al-Amīn (the trustworthy) even before prophethood, he maintained ethical consistency throughout his mission. He did not abandon principles for expediency, as exemplified at Hudaybiyyah, where his commitment to honouring agreements strengthened his moral authority and disarmed critics.

5.2 Seerah Case Studies of Peaceful Engagement

5.2.1 Treaty of Hudaybiyyah

In 628 CE, the Prophet (S.A.W.) and his companions sought to perform ʿumrah but were barred from entry to Mecca by the Quraysh. After negotiations, a treaty was concluded establishing a ten-year truce, postponing the pilgrimage, and requiring the return of Meccan defectors. Although many companions perceived the terms as humiliating, the Prophet (S.A.W.) accepted them for the sake of peace. The subsequent revelation of *Surah al-Fath* affirmed the treaty's significance. Historically, Hudaybiyyah enabled peaceful interaction with other tribes, facilitated widespread conversions, and laid the groundwork for the eventual, largely bloodless, conquest of Mecca when the Quraysh violated the agreement.

5.2.2 Letters to International Rulers

Following Hudaybiyyah, the Prophet (S.A.W.) sent letters inviting regional rulers to Islam. Each letter began with "In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful," offering peace and reminding recipients of their accountability before God. The letter to Emperor Heraclius included a

Qur'anic call to shared monotheistic belief, while the Shah of Persia reacted with hostility, tearing it up. In contrast, the Negus of Abyssinia responded favourably, and the ruler of Bahrain embraced Islam. These exchanges illustrate the Prophet's respectful yet firm diplomatic engagement with diverse audiences.

5.2.3 Mercy after Uhud and the Conquest of Mecca

At the Battle of Uhud, the mutilation of Muslim martyrs—including the Prophet's uncle Hamza—caused immense personal grief. Nevertheless, the Prophet (S.A.W.) forbade retaliatory mutilation. Upon the conquest of Mecca in 630 CE, he declared a general amnesty, stating, "Go, for you are free." This act of forgiveness dismantled entrenched hostility and prompted many former adversaries to embrace Islam, exemplifying magnanimity and moral consistency.

5.2.4 Compassionate Treatment of Individuals

Individual encounters further demonstrate the Prophet's gentle persuasion. Thumamah ibn Uthal, initially hostile to Islam, was treated kindly while detained at the mosque and released without ransom. Moved by this compassion, he later embraced Islam. Similarly, the Prophet's patient handling of the Bedouin who urinated in the mosque—preventing harsh reprimand and offering gentle correction—illustrates how empathy can transform hostility into understanding.

5.2.5 Engagement with Bedouins and Visitors

The Prophet (S.A.W.) regularly interacted with Bedouins and visitors unfamiliar with Islamic etiquette. He used simple language, vivid metaphors, and patience with repeated questions, adapting his speech to the audience's level of understanding (ḥikmah). His generosity toward a desert Arab who demanded charity—including personal hospitality—fostered goodwill and dismantled social barriers, reinforcing peaceful engagement through everyday conduct.

5.3 Contemporary Digital Daʿwah Practices

Analysis of contemporary online daʿwah platforms shows that many practitioners employ rhetorical strategies similar to those identified by Butt and Anwar (2025), reflecting deliberate efforts to counter Islamophobic narratives while adapting Islamic communication to the affordances of digital media. **Narrative storytelling**—through short videos, podcasts, livestreams, and threads—is widely used to share conversion stories, highlight Muslim contributions, and address misconceptions, thereby humanising Muslims and countering dehumanising portrayals (Butler, 2022). **Simplification and clarity** are also central, with complex theological concepts such as tawḥīd, prophethood, and Islamic law explained in plain language, using analogies and visual aids to enhance accessibility for diverse audiences (Butt & Anwar, 2025).

Intertextual and multimodal communication further strengthens digital daʿwah, combining Qurʾanic verses, ḥadīth, and scholarly references with popular culture, memes, images, and short videos to increase engagement and shareability (Campbell, 2013; Crystal, 2011). **Empathetic framing** emphasises shared humanity, with creators sharing personal experiences of discrimination or interfaith solidarity and using hashtags such as #LoveForAll and #NotInMyName to reject extremism and reclaim public narratives (Awan & Zempi, 2017). **Code-switching and multilingualism**—including the use of Romanised scripts and mixed languages—are common in diasporic contexts, signalling inclusivity and cultural belonging (Butt & Anwar, 2025; Tagg, 2015). In response to viral Islamophobic incidents, **coordinated hashtag campaigns** disseminate educational content, prayers, and condemnations of violence to disrupt hostile narratives and assert ethical accountability (Butler, 2022).

Despite these strategies, structural challenges persist. **Algorithmic bias** favours sensational and polarising content, enabling Islamophobic narratives to spread more rapidly than nuanced educational material (Butt & Anwar, 2025). **Echo chambers** limit exposure to alternative

viewpoints, while **harassment and online abuse** contribute to burnout among daʿwah practitioners. Additionally, **mistrust of institutions** leads some audiences to favour peer-to-peer communication over mosque or organisational sources, complicating efforts to disseminate authoritative information.

5.4 Empirical Data on Digital Islamophobia

Digital Islamophobia: Key Empirical Indicators

Drawing on Butler's (2022) comprehensive report on Islamophobia in the digital age, the following statistics illustrate the scale, persistence, and thematic nature of anti-Muslim hate on social media platforms. These findings provide critical empirical context for understanding the urgency of developing effective counter-Islamophobia strategies within digital daʿwah.

Key Indicators of Digital Islamophobia on Twitter (August 2019 – August 2021)

Metric	Finding
Number of Islamophobic posts	At least **3,759,180** Islamophobic tweets were recorded during the two years (Butler, 2022).
Content removal rate	Only **14.83%** of anti-Muslim tweets were removed by the platform, indicating the limited effectiveness of moderation mechanisms (Butler, 2022).
Geographic distribution	Approximately **86%** of geolocated Islamophobic posts originated from **India, the United States, and the United Kingdom** (Butler, 2022).
Dominant themes	Islam framed as inherently violent or terrorist, Muslim men portrayed as sexual predators, claims of Muslims imposing Sharia law, population-replacement conspiracy narratives, and debates around halal practices (Butler, 2022).
Digital vs. offline prevalence	Islamophobia was found to be **more prevalent online than offline**, reinforcing the role of digital spaces as primary incubators of anti-Muslim hatred (Butler, 2022).

These findings demonstrate that digital Islamophobia is not marginal or episodic but systemic and persistent. The low rate of content removal allows harmful narratives to remain visible for extended periods, contributing to the normalization of anti-Muslim prejudice. Moreover, the concentration of Islamophobic content in a small number of countries highlights the transnational yet uneven geography of digital hate. The dominance of recurring themes—particularly associations between Islam and violence—underscores how misinformation and conspiracy thinking shape online discourse. Collectively, these indicators reveal a digital ecosystem in which Islamophobia circulates widely with limited institutional constraint, reinforcing the need for principled, strategic, and ethically grounded responses.

6 Discussion

6.1 Integrating Prophetic Principles with Modern Rhetoric

The findings reveal a strong correspondence between Prophetic communication principles and effective digital daʿwah strategies.

Wisdom entails adapting the message and medium to the audience and context. Online, this means understanding platform affordances and audience demographics: short videos for younger users on TikTok, long-form articles for readers on Substack, and multilingual posts for diaspora communities. It also means knowing when not to engage; ignoring trolls or malicious provocation can be wise if engagement would amplify hate.

Gentleness translates into courteous language, patience with repetitive questions, and refusal to mirror insults. This disarms aggressors, wins neutral observers, and maintains the moral high ground.

9

Patience is essential in digital discourse, where results are rarely immediate. Hatred that has built up over decades will not dissipate overnight. Persistent, consistent content over time can gradually reshape perceptions.

Clarity demands that Muslims articulate their beliefs transparently and avoid conspiracy theories or false statements—clear messaging, supported by evidence and easy-to-understand analogies, counters misinformation.

Moral consistency requires practitioners to live the values they preach. Hypocrisy—such as condemning hate while engaging in abusive behaviour online—undermines credibility.

6.2 Responding to Islamophobia in Digital Spaces

Applying Prophetic ethics to digital environments yields several strategies:

1. **Educational counter-narratives:** Use storytelling and credible sources to dismantle stereotypes. For instance, challenge the association of Islam with terrorism by citing statistical data, Islamic rulings condemning violence, and stories of Muslim heroism. Provide context when extremist events occur, emphasising that extremists do not represent mainstream Islam. Highlight positive contributions of Muslims to science, art, humanitarian work, and local communities.

2. **Humanising Muslims:** Share personal narratives of Muslims from diverse backgrounds. Emphasise similarities with the broader public—family values, work ethic, community service. According to empathy research, narratives can reduce prejudice by fostering perspective-taking. When combined with Prophetic gentleness, humanising content can shift attitudes more effectively than abstract arguments.

3. **Engaging with empathy and respect:** When encountering Islamophobic comments, respond as the Prophet (S.A.W.) did, acknowledging any legitimate concerns, correcting misconceptions, and inviting dialogue. For example, if a user expresses fear of Sharia law, explain its ethical foundations and address myths. Avoid mocking or belittling questions. Recognise that some individuals are misinformed rather than malicious. When encountering outright hatred, disengage respectfully and report abusive content to platform moderators.

4. **Leveraging technology wisely:** Understand platform algorithms and use features (hashtags, cross-posting, trending topics) to amplify positive content. Collaborate with influencers and allies to reach broader audiences. Use analytics to identify audience interests and tailor content accordingly. Where possible, work with platform moderators and organisations to improve moderation policies and advocate for equitable enforcement of anti-hate speech policies.

5. **Building supportive communities:** Create networks of daʿwah practitioners to share resources, strategies, and emotional support. Collective action can amplify messages and provide resilience against harassment. Encouraging offline community engagement—such as open-mosque days or interfaith dialogues—can complement online efforts and build social capital.

6. **Advocacy and policy engagement:** Work with civil society organisations, policymakers, and platforms to address systemic issues such as algorithmic bias and under-moderation of hate. Advocate for educational curricula that promote religious literacy and digital citizenship. Collaborate with scholars and journalists to ensure accurate reporting on Islam and Muslims.

6.3 Theoretical Implications

This study shows that the Seerah offers a normative framework for ethical communication aligned with secular persuasive theories. The Prophet's focus on character (akhlaq) boosts ethos, empathetic framing matches pathos, and clarity aligns with logos. His conflict-resolution approach prefigures modern principled negotiation, emphasizing long-term interests over short-term gains. In digital contexts, this means prioritising reputation and trust over viral disputes. The findings also indicate that moral role models and stories can be more persuasive than logic alone, aligning with social

psychology research that sees role models influencing attitudes more than statistics.

6.4 Practical Implications

For daʿwah practitioners, the study offers actionable recommendations:

• **Training and capacity building:** Organisations should train volunteers in digital literacy, conflict management, and Prophetic ethics. This includes teaching how to respond to trolls, verify information, produce engaging content, and maintain mental health.

• **Strategic planning:** Plan campaigns around key themes, events, or narratives, anticipating spikes in Islamophobia after global incidents. Prepare curated resources ready for rapid deployment.

• **Partnerships:** Build coalitions with interfaith groups, anti-racism organisations, and academics to provide credible voices and broaden reach. Partnerships can also support advocacy for better moderation policies.

• **Self-care and community care:** Encourage practitioners to take breaks, seek support, and avoid burnout. Cultivate a supportive online environment where volunteers can share experiences and decompress.

6.5 Limitations and Future Research

This study has several limitations.

First, while the Seerah provides a rich source of ethical guidance, the historical contexts differ markedly from those of modern digital environments. Applying Prophetic strategies requires careful adaptation.

Second, the analysis of digital daʿwah focused primarily on English-language platforms; further research should examine other languages and cultures.

Third, the empirical data on digital Islamophobia rely on Twitter; other platforms such as Facebook, TikTok, and Telegram may exhibit different dynamics.

Future research might employ quantitative methods to assess the effectiveness of Seerah-guided communication strategies, such as measuring changes in sentiment after exposure to Prophetic-inspired content. Comparative studies between Muslim and non-Muslim communities could also illuminate cross-cultural receptivity.

6.6 Ethical and Theological Foundations of Daʿwah

Beyond strategic considerations, daʿwah is grounded in a profound ethical and theological ethos. It is not merely an effort to win arguments or gain influence, but a spiritual obligation motivated by sincerity, compassion, and the desire to guide others toward goodness. Classical scholars emphasise that daʿwah must be rooted in pure intention (niyyah), seeking Allah's pleasure rather than recognition. The Prophet Muhammad (S.A.W.) taught that actions are judged by intentions, a principle that, in digital daʿwah, requires content to be shared for guidance and clarification rather than ego, popularity, or anger. Sincerity (ikhlāṣ) ensures that daʿwah remains an act of mercy, in accordance with the Qurʾanic command to "invite to the way of your Lord with wisdom and good instruction" (Qurʾan, 16:125).

Central to this ethic is **rahmah** (mercy). Described in the Qurʾan as "a mercy to the worlds," the Prophet (S.A.W.) invited without coercion and responded to hostility with prayer and compassion, as seen in his conduct at Taʾif. In digital contexts, this requires avoiding mockery and hostility when addressing Islamophobia, instead responding with empathy and restraint. Humility is equally vital: the Prophet (S.A.W.) listened, acknowledged uncertainty, and never acted with arrogance. Online, this translates into openness to learning, admitting mistakes, and avoiding claims of absolute authority.

Ethical daʿwah also demands **justice and fairness**. The Qurʾan commands believers to uphold justice even against themselves, which in digital discourse entails representing opposing views

11

accurately and avoiding misinformation or misquotation. Responding to Islamophobia must not replicate the same disregard for dignity under the guise of free speech; instead, Muslims are called to uphold ethical consistency and respect for all.

Finally, Prophetic daʿwah is marked by **steadfastness**. Despite prolonged persecution, the Prophet (S.A.W.) remained principled and persistent. In the digital age, steadfastness involves continuing respectful engagement despite trolling or harassment. Viewing daʿwah as worship enables perseverance, as conveying Islam with patience and integrity remains spiritually meaningful regardless of immediate results.

6.7 Free Speech, Hate Speech, and Legal Debates

Digital Islamophobia raises complex questions about the boundary between freedom of expression and hate speech. Liberal democracies protect the right to criticise ideas and religions, including Islam, but impose limits when speech incites violence, harassment, or discrimination (Allen, 2010). Debates over Islamophobia emerge from this tension: some fear that regulating anti-Muslim discourse restricts legitimate critique. In contrast, others argue that failing to do so normalises prejudice and endangers Muslim communities.

Early definitions reflected this dilemma. The Runnymede Trust (1997) defined Islamophobia as "unfounded hostility towards Islam," leading to discrimination, focusing primarily on religion. Later definitions shifted toward racialisation. The All-Party Parliamentary Group (APPG) on British Muslims characterised Islamophobia as "rooted in racism" and targeting "expressions of Muslimness or perceived Muslimness" (APPG, 2018, p. 11), highlighting its function as social exclusion rather than theological critique. The Institute for Strategic Dialogue (ISD) further clarifies that criticism of Islam becomes Islamophobic only when it deliberately provokes hatred, discrimination, or violence (ISD, 2023). This distinction is crucial in digital spaces where debate, satire, and hate often overlap. While Muslims engage in legitimate internal critique, narratives portraying Muslims collectively as terrorists, predators, or conspirators constitute hate speech (Butler, 2022).

Regulation of online Islamophobia remains uneven. Although incitement to violence is criminalised in many jurisdictions, harassment and dehumanising rhetoric often evade prosecution. Platform moderation is inconsistent: Butler (2022) found that only 14.83% of Islamophobic tweets were removed, allowing harmful content to persist, often disguised as "critique" or "satire." These challenges are compounded by the transnational nature of digital communication, in which content crosses borders, but legal standards vary. ISD (2023) therefore advocates a balanced approach that protects free expression while holding harmful speech accountable, distinguishing between permissible critique and content that dehumanises or incites violence.

Muslims engaged in digital daʿwah can contribute constructively by promoting evidence-based moderation standards and educating audiences about the difference between critique and racist rhetoric. Rather than advocating blanket censorship, a Prophetic approach prioritises dialogue, patience, and moral integrity, seeking to persuade while standing in solidarity with those subjected to persistent online harassment.

6.8 Algorithmic and Structural Challenges in Digital Daʿwah

Effective digital daʿwah must contend with algorithmic and structural dynamics that shape visibility on online platforms. Social media algorithms prioritise engagement, often amplifying sensational or emotionally charged content, creating favourable conditions for the spread of Islamophobic narratives that generate high interaction (Butler, 2022). Research shows that such content circulates widely and is removed at low rates, allowing harmful narratives to persist (Butler, 2022). Because algorithms prioritize engagement over accuracy, misinformation often outranks ethical and educational daʿwah, posing a significant challenge to communication grounded in nuance and moral

responsibility. The geographic concentration of Islamophobic discourse—approximately 86% originating from India, the United States, and the United Kingdom—further illustrates how local socio-political contexts combine with algorithmic amplification to produce global waves of digital hate (Butler, 2022).

Structural barriers also limit the reach of digital daʿwah. Many practitioners lack resources for high-quality production, negatively affecting algorithmic ranking and engagement, while messages of peace and ethics struggle to compete with polarising content (Butt & Anwar, 2025). Linguistic diversity presents additional challenges: although multilingualism and code-switching enhance inclusivity and cultural resonance, they may reduce searchability and algorithmic discoverability (Butt & Anwar, 2025; Tagg, 2015). Inconsistent platform moderation further enables Islamophobic "safe havens," while counter-extremism policies in some contexts disproportionately restrict legitimate daʿwah, conflating peaceful outreach with extremism and undermining trust (Allen, 2010; Awan, 2016).

Addressing these challenges requires a strategic approach. Improving digital literacy and production quality can enhance visibility, while collaboration and network-building among daʿwah practitioners can amplify reach. Advocacy for algorithmic transparency, fair moderation, and improved hate-speech detection is also essential (Butler, 2022; Institute for Strategic Dialogue [ISD], 2023). Diversifying platform use and employing search engine optimisation (SEO) and metadata strategies can further increase accessibility. By engaging with algorithmic and structural constraints in an informed way, ethical digital daʿwah can strengthen its visibility, resilience, and long-term impact.

6.9 Social and Psychological Impacts

Social and Psychological Impacts of Digital Islamophobia

Digital Islamophobia affects not only public discourse but also the psychological well-being and social participation of Muslim individuals and communities. Repeated exposure to anti-Muslim hostility has been linked to increased fear, anxiety, stress, and feelings of marginalization (Awan & Zempi, 2017). Studies in Australia show that approximately 80% of Muslims have experienced discrimination, while 88% perceive Islamophobia as increasing, contributing to diminished trust in institutions and reduced civic engagement (Iner et al., 2019). Online harassment, including threats and doxxing, often produces offline consequences, leading individuals to alter behavior, conceal religious identity, or withdraw from public spaces (Butler, 2022). Persistent exposure to Islamophobic narratives can also foster internalised stigma, particularly among young Muslims navigating identity formation (Awan & Zempi, 2017).

The effects of digital Islamophobia extend beyond Muslim communities. Exposure to Islamophobic discourse shapes prejudiced attitudes among non-Muslims, normalises discrimination, and can embolden extremist views (Allen, 2010). Research indicates that sustained consumption of online hate correlates with support for restrictive policies and, in some cases, the justification of violence (Conway et al., 2019). Addressing digital Islamophobia is therefore essential not only for protecting Muslims but also for safeguarding social cohesion and democratic values.

A Seerah-based approach to digital daʿwah offers effective means to mitigate these harms. Prophetic communication emphasised empathy, dignity, and humanisation, directly countering the dehumanisation central to Islamophobic discourse. Digital daʿwah that highlights Muslims' everyday lives and contributions can dismantle stereotypes and foster empathy (Campbell, 2013). For Muslim audiences, affirming Islamic identity and creating supportive online spaces strengthens resilience and counters internalised negativity (Awan & Zempi, 2017). Public solidarity with victims and advocacy for fair moderation further reflect ethical responsibility, aligning digital daʿwah with the Prophetic mandate to protect the vulnerable and uphold justice.

13

7. Conclusion

The expansion of digital communication has transformed Islamic outreach, creating new opportunities while intensifying Islamophobia. This study demonstrates that the Seerah of the Prophet Muhammad (S.A.W.) provides a timeless model of communication excellence grounded in wisdom (ḥikmah), gentleness (rifq), patience (ṣabr), clarity, and moral consistency. Seerah case studies—such as Hudaybiyyah, diplomatic correspondence, and acts of forgiveness—show how peaceful engagement and ethical restraint can transform hostility into dialogue. When combined with contemporary strategies including simplification, intertextuality, empathetic framing, code-switching, and multimodal communication, Prophetic ethics offer practical tools for countering digital Islamophobia (Butt & Anwar, 2025).

Despite the persistence and limited moderation of online Islamophobia (Butler, 2022), the study argues that ethical and strategically adaptive digital daʿwah can disrupt cycles of hate by humanising Muslims, correcting misinformation, and engaging with empathy rather than confrontation. The proposed Seerah-based framework emphasises character, intention, and contextual awareness, encouraging investment in digital literacy, community networks, and advocacy for fair moderation. Ultimately, ethical communication emerges as both a religious obligation and an effective means of fostering dialogue, dignity, and mutual respect in digital spaces.

8. References

1.   Allen, C. (2010). *Islamophobia*. Ashgate.
2.   All-Party Parliamentary Group on British Muslims. (2018). *Islamophobia defined*. UK Parliament.
3.   Armstrong, K. (2007). *Muhammad: A prophet for our time*. HarperCollins.
4.   Awan, I. (2016). Islamophobia on social media: A qualitative analysis of the Facebook walls of hate. *International Journal of Cyber Criminology, 10*(1), 1–20.
5.   Awan, I., & Zempi, I. (2017). *Islamophobia: Lived experiences of online and offline victimisation*. Policy Press.
6.   Bunt, G. R. (2018). *Hashtag Islam: How cyber-Islamic environments are transforming religious authority*—University of North Carolina Press.
7.   Butler, U. (2022). *Islamophobia in the digital age: Anti-Muslim hatred online*. Islamic Council of Victoria. *https://doi.org/10.25916/gc0a-x327*
8.   Butt, I. R., & Anwar, N. (2025). Online daʿwah and counter-Islamophobic discourse: Rhetorical strategies in digital religious communication. *Journal of Media Horizons, 6*(4), 1185–1194.
9.   Campbell, H. A. (2013). *Digital religion: Understanding religious practice in new media worlds*. Routledge.
10.   Conway, M., Scrivens, R., & Macnair, L. (2019). Right-wing extremism and terrorism in the digital age. *Journal of Terrorism Research, 10*(1), 1–17.
11.   Crystal, D. (2011). *Internet linguistics: A student's guide*. Routledge.
12.   Esposito, J. L., & Kalin, I. (2011). *Islamophobia: The challenge of pluralism in the 21st century*. Oxford University Press.
13.   Federal Bureau of Investigation. (2021). *Hate crime statistics, 2020*. U.S. Department of Justice.
14.   Iner, D., Yucel, S., & Fethi, M. (2019). *Islamophobia in Australia: The lived experiences of Muslim communities*. Deakin University.
15.   Institute for Strategic Dialogue. (2023). *Islamophobia and anti-Muslim hatred in online*

spaces. *https://www.isdglobal.org*

16.   Runnymede Trust. (1997). *Islamophobia: A challenge for us all*. Runnymede Trust.
17.   Tagg, C. (2015). *Exploring digital communication: Language in action*. Routledge.
18.   Watt, W. M. (1956). *Muhammad at Medina*. Oxford University Press.